Discussion of Deadly Embrace: Sovereign and Financial Balance Sheet Doom Loops by Emmanuel Farhi and Jean Tirole

Pablo Kurlat

Stanford University

June 2017

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Outline



2 Back to Banks and Sovereign Debt



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = 釣��

Baseline: Maximal Dilution

- Output $E \sim F$ at t = 2
- Debt B_0 oustanding, due at t = 2
- Issue extra debt B_{NEW} at t = 1 to pay a dividend. $B_1 = B_0 + B_{NEW}$
- No default costs. If $B_1 > E$, output pro-rated among creditors
- Debt pricing: $\mathbb{E}[\min\{E, B\}]$

$$p(B_1) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{E, B_1\right\}\right]}{B_1}$$

• Firm problem:

$$\max_{B_1} p(B_1)(B_1 - B_0) + \mathbb{E}[\min\{E - B_1, 0\}]$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

• Solution: maximal dilution $(B_1 = \infty)$

Debtor's Prison, Liquidity Needs and the Doom Loop

- Obtor's prison
 - Utility cost Φ of default.
 - Firm problem:

$$\max_{B_{1}} p(B_{1})(B_{1}-B_{0}) + \mathbb{E} [\min \{E-B_{1},0\}] - \Phi F(B_{1})$$

- For Φ large enough, no dilution
- 2 Liquidity Needs
 - Firm needs to raise I
 - B_{NFW} solves:

$$p(B_1)(B_1-B_0)=I \quad \Rightarrow \quad B_1=\frac{I}{p(B_1)}+B_0$$

The doom loop (a fixed point problem):

$$p'(I) = -\frac{\frac{1}{p\left(\frac{I}{p} + B_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{I}{p} + B_{0}} EdF(E)}{1 - \frac{I}{p^{2}\left(\frac{I}{p} + B_{0}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{I}{p} + B_{0}} EdF(E)} \text{ discount on new debt}$$

Renegotiation?

- Will legacy creditors renegotiate? "Please write down your debts to $\tilde{B}_0 < B_0$ to reduce the risk that I'll default"
- Answer: no!
 - If no dilution, payoff of legacy creditors:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{E,\tilde{B}_{0}\right\}\right]$$

If

- ★ liquidity need / dividend is I
- \star legacy creditors write down to \ddot{B}_0
- New debt issuance:

$$B_{NEW}\left(\tilde{B}_{0}\right) = \frac{I}{p\left(\tilde{B}_{0} + B_{NEW}\left(\tilde{B}_{0}\right)\right)}$$

Payoff of legacy creditors:

$$\frac{\tilde{B}_{0}}{\tilde{B}_{0}+B_{NEW}}\mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{E,\tilde{B}_{0}+B_{NEW}\right\}\right]$$

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらう

• Either way, payoff increasing in \tilde{B}_0 . No Laffer curve. No write down

Default Costs and Hold-Up

- Extreme case: default destroys all output
- Legacy creditors face Laffer curve:

$$\max_{\tilde{B}_{0}}\tilde{B}_{0}\left[1-F\left(\tilde{B}_{0}\right)\right]$$

- Write down debt to peak of Laffer curve
- Firm announces dividend / liquidity need of I
 - New debt shifts peak of legacy Laffer curve:

$$\max_{\tilde{B}_{0}} \frac{\tilde{B}_{0}}{\tilde{B}_{0} + B_{NEW}} \left[1 - F\left(\tilde{B}_{0} + B_{NEW}\right) \right]$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

Dilution can be optimal (even without liquidity need)

Outline

1 A Pure Debt Dilution Problem

2 Back to Banks and Sovereign Debt

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?



A Sovereign and Banks

• Debt pricing:

$$p(s) = 1 - F(B_1|s)$$

- Creditors do not share E upon default
- Liquidity is needed for bank bailout
 - ▶ (Setting *A* = *p*₀ = 1)

$$X(s) = I(s) - [r + (1 - r)p(s)]$$

• Fixed point:

$$p(s) = 1 - F\left(\frac{I(s) - [r + (1 - r)p(s)]}{p(s)} + B_0 \middle| s\right)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

The Doom Loop Again

• Doom loop:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

• Helps organize stories:

- Argentina, Italy: (1) + (3) + (4)
- East Asia, Ireland: (2) + (4)
- etc.

Loose Regulation as Hold-Up

• Lower r requirement for banks

Need bigger bailouts

• Will need greater debt issuance

• Legacy creditors will choose to forgive debt

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Outline

A Pure Debt Dilution Problem

2 Back to Banks and Sovereign Debt

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?



Some Ideas

• Do Sovereigns need banks as an excuse to dilute their creditors?

- Credibility of threat of dilution
- Example of Greece
- Role of politics?
- What are the default costs? Does debt forgiveness avoid them?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

- Blowing up banks
- Reputation role of coordination in punishment trigger
- How about contingent claims?
- Role of timing of debt forgiveness.
 - Why not wait and forgive later?