Banco de Portugal

EUROSISTEMA

Estudos e Documentos de Trabalho

Working Papers

13 | 2010

MARGINAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF RANDOM VECTORS GENERATED BY AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS OF INDEPENDENT TWO-PIECE NORMAL VARIABLES

Maximiano Pinheiro

June 2010

The analyses, opinions and findings of these papers represent the views of the authors, they are not necessarily those of the Banco de Portugal or the Eurosystem.

Please address correspondence to

Maximiano Pinheiro

Banco de Portugal, Av. Almirante Reis no. 71, 1150-012 Lisboa, Portugal;

Tel.: 351 21 312 8394, mpinheiro@bportugal.pt

BANCO DE PORTUGAL

Edition

Economics and Research Department

Av. Almirante Reis, 71-6th

1150-012 Lisboa

www.bportugal.pt

Pre-press and Distribution

Administrative Services Department

Documentation, Editing and Museum Division

Editing and Publishing Unit

Av. Almirante Reis, 71-2nd

1150-012 Lisboa

Printing

Administrative Services Department

Logistics Division

Lisbon, June 2010

Number of copies

170

ISBN 978-989-678-031-9

ISSN 0870-0117

Legal Deposit no. 3664/83

Marginal distributions of random vectors generated by affine transformations of independent two-piece normal variables

Maximiano Pinheiro

Banco de Portugal and ISEG - Technical University of Lisbon
Address: Banco de Portugal, Av. Almirante Reis, 71, 1150-012 Lisboa, Portugal;
E-mail: mpinheiro@bportugal.pt; Tel. +351-213128394; Fax: +351-213144580.

Abstract

Marginal probability density and cumulative distribution functions are presented for multidimensional variables defined by non-singular affine transformations of vectors of independent two-piece normal variables, the most important subclass of Ferreira and Steel's general multivariate skewed distributions. The marginal functions are obtained by first expressing the joint density as a mixture of Arellano-Valle and Azzalini's unified skew-normal densities and then using the property of closure under marginalization of the latter class.

***Keywords*:** Multivariate skewed distribution, multivariate skew-normal distribution, multivariate split normal, two-piece normal, marginal distribution.

***JEL*:** C16, C46

1. Introduction

In the literature on probability distributions there are several approaches for extending the multivariate normal distribution with the introduction of some sort of skewness. Arellano-Valle, Branco and Genton (2006) provide a unified view of this literature. The largest group of contributions was initiated by Azzalini and Dalla Valle (1996) and Azzalini and Capitanio (1999) and generalizes the univariate skew-normal (*SN*) distribution studied by Azzalini (1985, 1986). These "multivariate skew-normal distributions" are generated from a normal distribution either by conditioning on a truncated variable or by a convolution mechanism.

An alternative approach was proposed by Ferreira and Steel (2004, 2007a, 2007b) and is based on non-singular affine transformations of random vectors with independent components, each having a skewed distribution with probability density function (pdf) constructed from a symmetric distribution using the inverse scaling factor method introduced by Fernández and Steel (1998)[1]. If the univariate symmetric distribution is the standard normal, then the corresponding univariate skewed distribution becomes (with a different parameterization) the two-piece normal (*tpn*) analyzed by John (1982)[2]. To overcome an issue of over-parameterization, Ferreira and Steel (2007a, 2007b) pay particular attention to the subclass associated with transformation matrices which can be factorized as the product of an orthogonal matrix and a diagonal positive definite matrix. Villani and Larsson (2006) studied this subclass when the basic univariate skewed distribution is the *tpn* and named these distributions "multivariate split normal".

Under the acronym *SUN* (standing for "unified skew-normal"), Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006) suggested a formulation for the first approach that encompasses the most relevant coexisting variants of multivariate skew-normal distributions. Like the multivariate normal and *SN* distributions, the class of *SUN* distributions is closed under affine transformations, marginalization and conditioning to given values of some components. Besides these important properties, the *SUN* class is also closed under sums of independent components. However, one limitation of the *SUN* distributions is that the vector of location

[1] Arellano-Valle, Gómez and Quintana (2005) consider a general class of asymmetric univariate distributions which includes the distributions generated according to the procedure proposed by Fernández and Steel (1998) as a special case.

[2] See also Johnson, Kotz and Balakrishnan (1994).

parameters does not have a direct interpretation as the mean or the mode of the distribution, which are rather complicated functions of all the parameters. Even in the simplest case of the basic *SN*, both the mean and the mode (for which there is no closed expression) depend on the parameters regulating dispersion and skewness.

The Ferreira and Steel's independent components approach to the construction of multivariate skewed normal distributions (henceforth *FS-SN*) provides an alternative to the *SUN* class in applications for which it is important to have some location measure that does not depend on the dispersion and skewness parameters. Indeed, the *FS-SN* distributions have the convenient feature that the mode is part of the distribution parameters and therefore is invariant to dispersion and skewness. In addition, the *FS-SN* distributions are closed under non-singular affine transformations. However, unlike the *SUN* class, the *FS-SN* distributions are not closed under marginalization (neither under conditioning) and, to my knowledge, general closed expressions of their marginal pdf and cumulative distribution function (cdf) are not available in the literature.

This note aims at filling the gap and proposing expressions for the marginal density and cumulative distribution functions of a *FS-SN* distribution. Obviously, the expressions will also apply to the subclass of multivariate split normal distributions studied by Villani and Larsson (2006). The technique used to derive the marginal distributions is simple and consists of expressing the joint *FS-SN* distribution as a finite mixture of singular *SUN* distributions and then making use of their property of closure under marginalization.

An area of application of the results presented in this paper is macroeconomic density forecasting. Many institutions which publish macroeconomic forecasts complement their point forecasts with information on the dispersion and skewness of the probability distributions of the forecasting errors. Fan charts are one of the most popular tools to convey the predictive densities, and they gained prominence through their use in inflation reports released by many central banks, with the Bank of England and the Sveriges Riksbank (the Swedish central bank) featuring as pioneers in this respect (Britton, Fisher and Whitley, 1998, and Blix and Sellin, 1998)[3]. The characterization of the forecast densities is complicated by the fact that typically institutional forecasts are not based on a single model, but stem from different competing models combined with judgements by experts (the latter

[3] See also Wallis (1999, 2004) and Tay and Wallis (2000).

regarding, in particular, the skewness, i.e. the balance of upward and downward risks to the forecasts). Most of the procedures used to generate the fan charts take the point baseline forecasts as given and assume that the sources of uncertainty and asymmetry have univariate *tpn* distributions. These sources of forecasting error are then aggregated according to a linear mapping, envisaged as an approximation around the baseline to the underlying unknown data generating process. In the absence of closed expressions for the exact distribution of a linear combination of *tpn* variables, some aggregation procedures resort to informal approximations based on the first moments, while other procedures are based on numerical simulation. Examples of the first approach are Blix and Sellin (1998, 1999, 2000) and Elekdag and Kannan (2009), while Pinheiro and Esteves (2010) opted to simulate the distribution. The results presented in section 3 allow to overcome this aggregation difficulty.

2. The *SUN* and the *FS-SN* distributions

If the *M*-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{Y} \sim SUN_{M,N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*)$, then its pdf and cdf are, respectively, for any point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathrm{R}^M$:

$$g_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*\right) = \varphi_M\left(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right) \frac{\Phi_N\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Delta}\right)}{\Phi_N\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)} \tag{1}$$

$$G_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*\right) = \frac{\Phi_{N+M}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \end{bmatrix} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*\right)}{\Phi_N\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)} \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi_M\left(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)$ and $\Phi_M\left(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)$ denote, respectively, the pdf and the cdf at point $\mathbf{y}$ of a normal distribution $N_M\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ $(M \times 1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ $(N \times 1)$ are vectors of parameters, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ $(M \times M)$ is a positive definite covariance matrix, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ $(M \times M)$ is the diagonal matrix formed by the standard deviations of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$ $(M \times M)$ is the correlation matrix associated with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ (hence $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{\omega}$), $\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\iota}_N$ with $\boldsymbol{\iota}_N = \left[1 \cdots 1\right]'$ $\left(N \times 1\right)$), $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ $(N \times N)$ is a positive definite correlation matrix, and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ $(M \times N)$ is such that

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} & \boldsymbol{\Delta}' \\ \boldsymbol{\Delta} & \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \left((N+M) \times (N+M)\right)$$

is also a (semi-definite positive) correlation matrix[4]. The *SUN* distribution collapses to the multivariate normal when $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ being the matrix of parameters which regulate skewness. It collapses to the basic multivariate *SN* distribution suggested by Azzalini and Dalla Valle (1996) when $N=1$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}=\mathbf{0}$ (implying that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=1$).

Now let the scalar random variable U_n be *tpn* distributed with zero mode. Its pdf may be parameterized as follows:

$$f_{U_n}(u_n \mid \omega_n,\theta_n)=\begin{cases} 2\omega_n^{-1}\left(\theta_n+\theta_n^{-1}\right)^{-1}\phi\left(\omega_n^{-1}\theta_n u_n\right) & \left(u_n\le 0\right) \\ 2\omega_n^{-1}\left(\theta_n+\theta_n^{-1}\right)^{-1}\phi\left(\omega_n^{-1}\theta_n^{-1}u_n\right) & \left(u_n>0\right) \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\phi(\ )$ denotes the $N(0,1)$ pdf, $\omega_n(>0)$ is a scale parameter and $\theta_n(>0)$ is a shape parameter. When $\theta_n=1$, the density becomes the normal pdf with zero mean and standard deviation ω_n (so that when the latter parameter is 1 the pdf collapses to $\phi(u_n)$). Values of θ_n above (below) unity correspond to densities skewed to the right (left). Let **U** be a *N*-dimensional random vector of independent *tpn* components u_n with zero mode and unitary scale $\omega_n=1$. Its pdf is:

$$f_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta})=\prod_{n=1}^{N} f_{U_n}(u_n\mid 1,\theta_n) \tag{4}$$

where $f_{U_n}(\ )$ is as in (3) (with $\omega_n=1$) and $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left[\theta_1\cdots\theta_N\right]'$. A *N*-dimensional random vector **X** is said to be $FS-SN_N(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ distributed if there is a random vector **U** with density (4) and two vectors $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (the joint mode) and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (the "shape vector"), and a non-singular matrix **A** (the "scale matrix") such that $\mathbf{X}=\boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{AU}$. Vector **X** has pdf

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\mid\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\left|\det\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right|^{-1} f_{\mathbf{U}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$$

It is straightforward to confirm that: (i) when $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\mathbf{0}$ this density collapses to the pdf of a $N_N(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{AA}')$ distribution; (ii) the $FS-SN_N(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ distribution is unimodal with mode $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, invariant with respect to **A** and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$; and (iii) by construction, the *FS-SN* class is closed under non-singular affine transformations.

[4] Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006, Appendix C) consider three cases of singular *SUN* distributions: (i) $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ singular; (ii) $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ singular; (iii) $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*$ singular with non-singular $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. For our purposes, only the latter case is relevant.

3. The marginal *FS-SN* distributions

To establish additional notation, let $\mathbf{I}_N$ denote the identity matrix of order *N*, $\eta(\mathbf{z})$ be the number of zero elements in vector **z**, $\psi(\mathbf{z})$ be one if all elements of vector **z** are non-negative and zero otherwise, $\mathbf{k}(i)=(k_1(i),\cdots,k_n(i),\cdots,k_N(i))$ be the generic element of the *N*-th Cartesian power of $\{-1;1\}$ (with cardinal 2^N), $\mathbf{K}(i)=diag_n(k_n(i))$ $(N\times N)$, $\mathbf{\Theta}(i)=diag_n(\theta_n^{k_n(i)})$ $(N\times N)$, $\mathbf{\Omega}(i)=[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)][\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)]'=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}^2(i)\mathbf{A}'$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}(i)=$ $=\left[diag(\mathbf{\Omega}(i))\right]^{1/2}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}(i)\boldsymbol{\iota}_N$, $\Delta(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{\Omega}}(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{\Omega}(i)\boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)=$ $=\Delta(i)\Delta(i)'$.

Proposition 1: *The pdf and the cdf of the N-dimensional random vector*

$$\mathbf{X}\sim FS-SN_N(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

with non-singular scale matrix **A**, *can be expressed, respectively, as:*

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta})=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i))$$

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta})=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}G_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i))$$

where $g_{\mathbf{X}}(\ )$ *and* $G_{\mathbf{X}}(\ )$ *are pdfs and cdfs of singular* $SUN_{N,N}(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i))$ *distributions, with* $\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N & \Delta(i)'\\ \Delta(i) & \bar{\mathbf{\Omega}}(i)\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N\\ \Delta(i)\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N & \Delta(i)'\end{bmatrix}$. *The latter functions may be written as:*

$$g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i))=2^{N-\eta(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}\varphi_N(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\,|\,\mathbf{\Omega}(i))\psi(\mathbf{K}(i)\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}))$$

$$G_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i))=2^N\Phi_{2N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\end{bmatrix}\,|\,\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i)\right)=$$

$$=2^N\int_{\{\mathbf{z}\,|\,\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{0}\text{ and }\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\}}\varphi_N(\mathbf{z}\,|\,\mathbf{I}_N)\mathbf{dz}\,.\square$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}=\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n+\theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\theta_n^{k_n(i)}\right]=1$$

Hence, the distribution $FS-SN_N$ can be envisaged as a finite mixture of singular $SUN_{N,N}$ distributions.

As pointed out by Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006, Appendix C), the rank deficiency of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i)$ does not affect the properties of the *SUN* distributions and its only impact is of a computational nature. In our case, it actually simplifies the computation of the pdf values because the evaluation of a normal cdf is not required anymore, unlike when computing (1), the general expression of a *SUN* pdf.

In order to derive the marginal pdfs and cdfs of $\mathbf{X}$, one needs to consider its partition $\mathbf{X}=\left[\mathbf{X}_1' \quad \mathbf{X}_2'\right]'$ with $\mathbf{X}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}_2$ of dimensions N_1 and N_2, respectively, and the corresponding partitions

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}=\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2\end{bmatrix},\ \mathbf{A}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{A}_1\\ \mathbf{A}_2\end{bmatrix},\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)\\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2(i)\end{bmatrix},\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}(i) & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}(i)\\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}(i)' & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}(i)\end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(i) & \mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\omega}_2(i)\end{bmatrix}\text{ and }\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i)\\ \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_2(i)\end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathbf{A}_1\ (N_1\times N)$, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}_1^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}_1\boldsymbol{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)\ (N_1\times N)$, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}(i)=\mathbf{A}_1\boldsymbol{\Theta}^2(i)\mathbf{A}_1'\ (N_1\times N_1)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(i)=\left[diag\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}(i)\right)\right]^{1/2}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{11}(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}_1^{-1}(i)\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}(i)\boldsymbol{\omega}_1^{-1}(i)=\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)'$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i)=\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(i)\boldsymbol{\iota}_{N_1}$.

Proposition 2 follows directly from Proposition 1 and from the result of Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006, Appendix A) on the marginal distributions of members of the *SUN* class.

Proposition 2: *Let* $\mathbf{X}=\left[\mathbf{X}_1' \quad \mathbf{X}_2'\right]'\sim FS-SN_N\left(\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$. *Then the marginal pdf and the cdf of the* N_1*-dimensional sub-vector* $\mathbf{X}_1$ *are, respectively:*

$$f_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{A}_1,\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}g_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^*(i)\right)$$

$$F_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{A}_1,\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}G_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^*(i)\right)$$

where $g_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\ )$ *and* $G_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\ )$ *are pdfs and cdfs of singular* $SUN_{N_1,N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^*(i)\right)$

distributions, with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^{*}(i)=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N & \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)'\\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i) & \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{11}(i)\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N\\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N & \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1(i)'\end{bmatrix}$. *The latter functions may be written as:*

$$g_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^{*}(i)\right)=$$

$$=2^{N-\eta(\mathbf{x}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)}\varphi_{N_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}(i)\right)\psi\left(\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{A}_1'\left[\mathbf{A}_1\boldsymbol{\Theta}^2(i)\mathbf{A}_1'\right]^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\right)\right)$$

$$G_{\mathbf{X}_1}\left(\mathbf{x}_1\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_1(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^{*}(i)\right)=2^N\Phi_{N+N_1}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_1^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\right)\end{bmatrix}|\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11}^{*}(i)\right)=$$

$$=2^N\int_{\{\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{0}\text{ and }\mathbf{A}_1\boldsymbol{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{x}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\}}\varphi_N\left(\mathbf{z}\,|\,\mathbf{I}_N\right)\mathbf{dz}\,.\,\square$$

Appendix – Proof of Proposition 1

When $\omega_n=1$, the pdf of the univariate *tpn* (3) can be written as

$$f_{U_n}(u_n\,|\,1,\theta_n)=\left(1+\theta_n^2\right)^{-1}h\left(-u_n\,|\,\theta_n^{-1}\right)+\left(1+\theta_n^{-2}\right)^{-1}h\left(u_n\,|\,\theta_n\right)$$

where

$$h\left(z\,|\,\sigma\right)=\begin{cases}0 & (z<0)\\ \left(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}\right)^{-1} & (z=0)\\ (2/\sigma)\phi\left(z/\sigma\right) & (z>0)\end{cases}$$

Hence, from (4),

$$f_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\theta})=\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left[\left(1+\theta_n^2\right)^{-1}h\left(-u_n\,|\,\theta_n^{-1}\right)+\left(1+\theta_n^{-2}\right)^{-1}h\left(u_n\,|\,\theta_n\right)\right]=$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)^{-1}h\left(k_n(i)u_n\,|\,\theta_n^{k_n(i)}\right)$$

Note that $h\left(k_n(i)u_n\,|\,\theta_n^{k_n(i)}\right)=0$ whenever $k_n(i)u_n<0$. Hence, the non-zero terms in the latter summation are those associated with *N*-tuples $\mathbf{k}(i)$ for which $k_n(i)u_n\ge 0$ $\left(n=1,\cdots,N\right)$. If $u_n\ne 0$ $\left(n=1,\cdots,N\right)$ there is only one such term. If **u** includes $\eta(\mathbf{u})$ zero elements, there are $2^{\eta(\mathbf{u})}$ non-zero identical terms in the above summation. In both cases, the density of **U** may be expressed as follows:

$$f_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\theta})=2^N\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n+\theta_n^{-1}\right)^{-1}\varphi\left(\theta_n^{-\mathrm{sgn}(u_n)}u_n\right)=$$

$$= \left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n + \theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N}\left[2\varphi\left(\theta_n u_n\right)\Phi\left(-\lambda\theta_n u_n\right) + 2\varphi\left(\theta_n^{-1}u_n\right)\Phi\left(\lambda\theta_n^{-1}u_n\right)\right] =$$

$$= \left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n + \theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N}\left[\theta_n^{-1}s(u_n \mid 0, \theta_n^{-2}, -\lambda) + \theta_n s(u_n \mid 0, \theta_n^{2}, \lambda)\right] =$$

$$= \left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n + \theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\theta_n^{k_n(i)} s(u_n \mid 0, \theta_n^{2k_n(i)}, k_n(i)\lambda)\right] =$$

$$= 2^N\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n + \theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\varphi_N\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{I}_N\right) \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\lambda\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{I}_N\right)$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(\ )$ is the sign function and $s\left(v \mid 0, \sigma^2, \alpha\right)$ is the pdf of the univariate *SN* distribution with zero location parameter, scale parameter σ and shape parameter α:

$$s\left(v \mid 0, \sigma^2, \alpha\right) = \frac{2}{\sigma}\varphi\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\alpha v}{\sigma}\right)$$

From the above expression of $f_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$, by considering the change of variable $\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{AU}$ with **A** non-singular, one obtains the pdf of **X**:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) = 2^N\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\theta_n + \theta_n^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\varphi_N\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}(i)\right) \times$$

$$\times \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\lambda\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \mid \mathbf{I}_N\right)\prod_{n=1}^{N}\theta_n^{k_n(i)} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1} h\left(\mathbf{x}\right)$$

with

$$h\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = 2^N\varphi_N\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}(i)\right) \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\lambda\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \mid \mathbf{I}_N\right) \tag{A}$$

In order to show that $h\left(\mathbf{x}\right)$ is the pdf of a $SUN_{N,N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i), \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda, i)\right)$, note that

$$h\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = 2^N\varphi_N\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}(i)\right) \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)'\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1}(i)\boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \mid \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2}\mathbf{I}_N\right) =$$

$$= \varphi_N\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}(i)\right) \times$$

$$\times \frac{\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)'\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1}(i)\boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \mid \mathbf{I}_N - \frac{\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)'\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1}(i)\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)\right)}{\Phi_N\left(\mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{I}_N\right)} =$$

$$= \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} g_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i), \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda, i)\right)$$

where $g_{\mathbf{X}}(\ )$ is the density of a $SUN_{N,N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i), \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda, i)\right)$ distribution with

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda,i)=\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_N & \dfrac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)' \\ \dfrac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\boldsymbol{\Delta}(i) & \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}(i) \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, as $\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda,i)=\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)$,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} g_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{**}(\lambda,i)\right)=g_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)$$

The simplified expression for $g_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)$ presented in Proposition 1 is obtained from (A) simply by taking into account that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty}\Phi_N\left(\lambda\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)|\,\mathbf{I}_N\right)=2^{-\eta(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}\psi\left(\mathbf{K}(i)\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(i)\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\right).$$

As regards the cdf of **X**,

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\int_{z\le x} f_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{z}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{A},\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\mathbf{dz}=$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}\int_{z\le x} g_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{z}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)\mathbf{dz}=$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{2^N}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\theta_n^{-2k_n(i)}\right)\right]^{-1} G_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)$$

Moreover, one gets from (1)

$$G_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(i),\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)=\frac{\Phi_{2N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\end{bmatrix}|\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)}{\Phi_N\left(\mathbf{0}\,|\,\mathbf{I}_N\right)}=2^N\Phi_{2N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\end{bmatrix}|\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)=$$

$$=2^N\int_{\{\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{0}\text{ and }\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\}}\varphi_N\left(\mathbf{z}\,|\,\mathbf{I}_N\right)\mathbf{dz}$$

The latter equality follows from the singularity of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)$, which for given **x** allows one to write the probability of

$$\mathbf{r}\le\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{r}\sim N\left(\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*}(i)\right)$, as the probability of

$$\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}_N\\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}(i)\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{z}\le\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-1}(i)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\end{bmatrix}\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\left\{\mathbf{z}\,|\,\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{0}\text{ and }\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(i)\mathbf{K}(i)\mathbf{z}\le\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right\}$$

for $\mathbf{z} \sim N\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_N\right)$.

References

ARELLANO-VALLE R. and A. AZZALINI (2006) On the unification of families of skew -normal distributions, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 33, 561-574.

ARELLANO-VALLE R., M. BRANCO and M. GENTON (2006) A unified view on skewed distributions arising from selections, *Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 34, 581-601.

ARELLANO-VALLE R., H. GÓMEZ and F. QUINTANA (2005) Statistical inference for a general class of asymmetric distributions, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 128, 427-443.

BLIX M. and P. SELLIN (1998) Uncertainty bands for inflation forecasts, *Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper*, 65.

BLIX M. and P. SELLIN (1999) Inflation forecasts with uncertainty intervals, *Sveriges Riksbank Quarterly Review*, 2, 12-28.

BLIX M. and P. SELLIN (2000) A bivariate distribution for inflation and output forecasts, *Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper*, 102.

AZZALINI A. (1985) A class of distributions which includes the normal ones, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 12, 171-178.

AZZALINI A. (1986) Further results on a class of distributions which includes the normal ones, *Statistica*, XLVI, 199-208.

AZZALINI A. and A. CAPITANIO (1999) Statistical applications of the multivariate skew normal distribution, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society - Series B*, 61, 579-602.

AZZALINI A. and A. DALLA VALLE (1996) The multivariate skew-normal distribution, *Biometrika*, 83, 715-726.

BRITTON, E., P. FISHER and J. WHITLEY (1998) The Inflation Report projections: understanding the fan chart, *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin*, Feb. 1998, 30-37.

ELEKDAG S. and P. KANNAN (2009) Incorporating market information into the construction of the fan chart, *IMF Working Paper*, 09/178.

FERNÁNDEZ C. and M. STEEL (1998) On Bayesian modeling of fat tails and skewness, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 93, 359-371.

FERREIRA J. and M. STEEL (2004) Bayesian multivariate regression analysis with a new

class of skewed distributions, Mimeo, University of Warwick.

FERREIRA J. and M. STEEL (2007a) A new class of skewed multivariate distributions with applications to regression analysis, *Statistica Sinica*, 17, 505-529.

FERREIRA J. and M. STEEL (2007b) Model comparison of coordinate-free multivariate skewed distributions with an application to stochastic frontiers, *Journal of Econometrics*, 137, 641-673.

JOHN S. (1982) The three parameter two-piece normal family of distributions and its fitting, *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 11, 879-885.

JOHNSON N., S. KOTZ and N. BALAKRISHNAN (1994) *Continuous univariate distributions* (volume 1, 2nd edition), Wiley, New York.

PINHEIRO M. and P. ESTEVES (2010) On the uncertainty and risks of macroeconomic forecasts: combining judgements with sample and model information, *Empirical Economics*, forthcoming (Online First DOI: 10.1007/s00181-010-0447-7).

TAY A. and K. WALLIS (2000) Density forecasting: A survey, *Journal of Forecasting*, 19, 235-254.

VILLANI M. and R. LARSSON (2006) The multivariate split normal distribution and asymmetric principal components analysis, *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 35(6), 1123-1140.

WALLIS K. (1999) Asymmetric density forecasts of inflation and the Bank of England's fan chart, *National Institute Economic Review*, 167, 106-112.

WALLIS K. (2004) An assessment of Bank of England and National Institute inflation forecast uncertainties, *National Institute Economic Review*, 189, 64-71.

WORKING PAPERS

2008

1/08 THE DETERMINANTS OF PORTUGUESE BANKS' CAPITAL BUFFERS
— *Miguel Boucinha*

2/08 DO RESERVATION WAGES REALLY DECLINE? SOME INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE ON THE DETERMINANTS OF RESERVATION WAGES
— *John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal*

3/08 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND RESERVATION WAGES: KEY ELASTICITIES FROM A STRIPPED-DOWN JOB SEARCH APPROACH
— *John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal*

4/08 THE EFFECTS OF LOW-COST COUNTRIES ON PORTUGUESE MANUFACTURING IMPORT PRICES
— *Fátima Cardoso, Paulo Soares Esteves*

5/08 WHAT IS BEHIND THE RECENT EVOLUTION OF PORTUGUESE TERMS OF TRADE?
— *Fátima Cardoso, Paulo Soares Esteves*

6/08 EVALUATING JOB SEARCH PROGRAMS FOR OLD AND YOUNG INDIVIDUALS: HETEROGENEOUS IMPACT ON UNEMPLOYMENT DURATION
— *Luis Centeno, Mário Centeno, Álvaro A. Novo*

7/08 FORECASTING USING TARGETED DIFFUSION INDEXES
— *Francisco Dias, Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua*

8/08 STATISTICAL ARBITRAGE WITH DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL
— *José Fajardo, Ana Lacerda*

9/08 DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF FACTORS IN APPROXIMATE FACTOR MODELS WITH GLOBAL AND GROUP-SPECIFIC FACTORS
— *Francisco Dias, Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua*

10/08 VERTICAL SPECIALIZATION ACROSS THE WORLD: A RELATIVE MEASURE
— *João Amador, Sónia Cabral*

11/08 INTERNATIONAL FRAGMENTATION OF PRODUCTION IN THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMY: WHAT DO DIFFERENT MEASURES TELL US?
— *João Amador, Sónia Cabral*

12/08 IMPACT OF THE RECENT REFORM OF THE PORTUGUESE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' PENSION SYSTEM
— *Maria Manuel Campos, Manuel Coutinho Pereira*

13/08 EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE ON THE BEHAVIOR AND STABILIZING ROLE OF FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICIES IN THE US
— *Manuel Coutinho Pereira*

14/08 IMPACT ON WELFARE OF COUNTRY HETEROGENEITY IN A CURRENCY UNION
— *Carla Soares*

15/08 WAGE AND PRICE DYNAMICS IN PORTUGAL
— *Carlos Robalo Marques*

16/08 IMPROVING COMPETITION IN THE NON-TRADABLE GOODS AND LABOUR MARKETS: THE PORTUGUESE CASE
— *Vanda Almeida, Gabriela Castro, Ricardo Mourinho Félix*

17/08 PRODUCT AND DESTINATION MIX IN EXPORT MARKETS
— *João Amador, Luca David Opromolla*

18/08 FORECASTING INVESTMENT: A FISHING CONTEST USING SURVEY DATA
— *José Ramos Maria, Sara Serra*

19/08 APPROXIMATING AND FORECASTING MACROECONOMIC SIGNALS IN REAL-TIME
— *João Valle e Azevedo*

20/08 A THEORY OF ENTRY AND EXIT INTO EXPORTS MARKETS
— *Alfonso A. Irarrazabal, Luca David Opromolla*

21/08 ON THE UNCERTAINTY AND RISKS OF MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: COMBINING JUDGEMENTS WITH SAMPLE AND MODEL INFORMATION
— *Maximiano Pinheiro, Paulo Soares Esteves*

22/08 ANALYSIS OF THE PREDICTORS OF DEFAULT FOR PORTUGUESE FIRMS
— *Ana I. Lacerda, Russ A. Moro*

23/08 INFLATION EXPECTATIONS IN THE EURO AREA: ARE CONSUMERS RATIONAL?
— *Francisco Dias, Cláudia Duarte, António Rua*

2009

1/09 AN ASSESSMENT OF COMPETITION IN THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM IN THE 1991-2004 PERIOD
— *Miguel Boucinha, Nuno Ribeiro*

2/09 FINITE SAMPLE PERFORMANCE OF FREQUENCY AND TIME DOMAIN TESTS FOR SEASONAL FRACTIONAL INTEGRATION
— *Paulo M. M. Rodrigues, Antonio Rubia, João Valle e Azevedo*

3/09 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION MECHANISM FOR A SMALL OPEN ECONOMY IN A MONETARY UNION
— *Bernardino Adão*

4/09 INTERNATIONAL COMOVEMENT OF STOCK MARKET RETURNS: A WAVELET ANALYSIS
— *António Rua, Luís C. Nunes*

5/09 THE INTEREST RATE PASS-THROUGH OF THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM: CHARACTERIZATION AND DETERMINANTS
— *Paula Antão*

6/09 ELUSIVE COUNTER-CYCLICALITY AND DELIBERATE OPPORTUNISM? FISCAL POLICY FROM PLANS TO FINAL OUTCOMES
— *Álvaro M. Pina*

7/09 LOCAL IDENTIFICATION IN DSGE MODELS
— *Nikolay Iskrev*

8/09 CREDIT RISK AND CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM
— *Paula Antão, Ana Lacerda*

9/09 A SIMPLE FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE TO ESTIMATE MODELS WITH HIGH-DIMENSIONAL FIXED EFFECTS
— *Paulo Guimarães, Pedro Portugal*

10/09 REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE: ACCOUNTING FOR WORKER AND FIRM HETEROGENEITY
— *Anabela Carneiro, Paulo Guimarães, Pedro Portugal*

11/09 DOUBLE COVERAGE AND DEMAND FOR HEALTH CARE: EVIDENCE FROM QUANTILE REGRESSION
— *Sara Moreira, Pedro Pita Barros*

12/09 THE NUMBER OF BANK RELATIONSHIPS, BORROWING COSTS AND BANK COMPETITION
— *Diana Bonfim, Qinglei Dai, Francesco Franco*

13/09 DYNAMIC FACTOR MODELS WITH JAGGED EDGE PANEL DATA: TAKING ON BOARD THE DYNAMICS OF THE IDIOSYNCRATIC COMPONENTS
— *Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua, Francisco Dias*

14/09 BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF A DSGE MODEL FOR THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMY
— *Vanda Almeida*

15/09 THE DYNAMIC EFFECTS OF SHOCKS TO WAGES AND PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE EURO AREA
— *Rita Duarte, Carlos Robalo Marques*

16/09 MONEY IS AN EXPERIENCE GOOD: COMPETITION AND TRUST IN THE PRIVATE PROVISION OF MONEY
— *Ramon Marimon, Juan Pablo Nicolini, Pedro Teles*

17/09 MONETARY POLICY AND THE FINANCING OF FIRMS
— *Fiorella De Fiore, Pedro Teles, Oreste Tristani*

18/09 HOW ARE FIRMS' WAGES AND PRICES LINKED: SURVEY EVIDENCE IN EUROPE
— *Martine Druant, Silvia Fabiani, Gabor Kezdi, Ana Lamo, Fernando Martins, Roberto Sabbatini*

19/09 THE FLEXIBLE FOURIER FORM AND LOCAL GLS DE-TRENDED UNIT ROOT TESTS
— *Paulo M. M. Rodrigues, A. M. Robert Taylor*

20/09 ON LM-TYPE TESTS FOR SEASONAL UNIT ROOTS IN THE PRESENCE OF A BREAK IN TREND
— *Luis C. Nunes, Paulo M. M. Rodrigues*

21/09 A NEW MEASURE OF FISCAL SHOCKS BASED ON BUDGET FORECASTS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS
— *Manuel Coutinho Pereira*

22/09 AN ASSESSMENT OF PORTUGUESE BANKS' COSTS AND EFFICIENCY
— *Miguel Boucinha, Nuno Ribeiro, Thomas Weyman-Jones*

23/09 ADDING VALUE TO BANK BRANCH PERFORMANCE EVALUATION USING COGNITIVE MAPS AND MCDA: A CASE STUDY
— *Fernando A. F. Ferreira, Sérgio P. Santos, Paulo M. M. Rodrigues*

24/09 THE CROSS SECTIONAL DYNAMICS OF HETEROGENOUS TRADE MODELS
— *Alfonso Irarrazabal, Luca David Opromolla*

25/09 ARE ATM/POS DATA RELEVANT WHEN NOWCASTING PRIVATE CONSUMPTION?
— *Paulo Soares Esteves*

26/09 BACK TO BASICS: DATA REVISIONS
— *Fatima Cardoso, Claudia Duarte*

27/09 EVIDENCE FROM SURVEYS OF PRICE-SETTING MANAGERS: POLICY LESSONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR ONGOING RESEARCH
— *Vítor Gaspar , Andrew Levin, Fernando Martins, Frank Smets*

2010

1/10 MEASURING COMOVEMENT IN THE TIME-FREQUENCY SPACE
— *António Rua*

2/10 EXPORTS, IMPORTS AND WAGES: EVIDENCE FROM MATCHED FIRM-WORKER-PRODUCT PANELS
— *Pedro S. Martins, Luca David Opromolla*

3/10 NONSTATIONARY EXTREMES AND THE US BUSINESS CYCLE
— *Miguel de Carvalho, K. Feridun Turkman, António Rua*

4/10 EXPECTATIONS-DRIVEN CYCLES IN THE HOUSING MARKET
— *Luisa Lambertini, Caterina Mendicino, Maria Teresa Punzi*

5/10 COUNTERFACTUAL ANALYSIS OF BANK MERGERS
— *Pedro P. Barros, Diana Bonfim, Moshe Kim, Nuno C. Martins*

6/10 THE EAGLE. A MODEL FOR POLICY ANALYSIS OF MACROECONOMIC INTERDEPENDENCE IN THE EURO AREA
— *S. Gomes, P. Jacquinot, M. Pisani*

7/10 A WAVELET APPROACH FOR FACTOR-AUGMENTED FORECASTING
— *António Rua*

8/10 EXTREMAL DEPENDENCE IN INTERNATIONAL OUTPUT GROWTH: TALES FROM THE TAILS
— *Miguel de Carvalho, António Rua*

9/10 TRACKING THE US BUSINESS CYCLE WITH A SINGULAR SPECTRUM ANALYSIS
— *Miguel de Carvalho, Paulo C. Rodrigues, António Rua*

10/10 A MULTIPLE CRITERIA FRAMEWORK TO EVALUATE BANK BRANCH POTENTIAL ATTRACTIVENESS
— *Fernando A. F. Ferreira, Ronald W. Spahr, Sérgio P. Santos, Paulo M. M. Rodrigues*

11/10 THE EFFECTS OF ADDITIVE OUTLIERS AND MEASUREMENT ERRORS WHEN TESTING FOR STRUCTURAL BREAKS IN VARIANCE
— *Paulo M. M. Rodrigues, Antonio Rubia*

12/10 CALENDAR EFFECTS IN DAILY ATM WITHDRAWALS
— *Paulo Soares Esteves, Paulo M. M. Rodrigues*

13/10 MARGINAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF RANDOM VECTORS GENERATED BY AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS OF INDEPENDENT TWO-PIECE NORMAL VARIABLES
— *Maximiano Pinheiro*