

# Banco de Portugal EUROSISTEMA

Estudos e Documentos de Trabalho

Working Papers

10 | 2009

# REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE: ACCOUNTING FOR WORKER AND FIRM HETEROGENEITY

Anabela Carneiro Paulo Guimarães Pedro Portugal

July 2009

The analyses, opinions and findings of these papers represent the views of the authors, they are not necessarily those of the Banco de Portugal or the Eurosystem.

Please address correspondence to Pedro Portugal Economics and Research Department Banco de Portugal, Av. Almirante Reis no. 71, 1150-012 Lisboa, Portugal; Tel.: 351 21 312 8410, pportugal@bportugal.pt

#### BANCO DE PORTUGAL

#### Edition

Economics and Research Department Av. Almirante Reis, 71-6<sup>th</sup> 1150-012 Lisboa www.bportugal.pt

#### Pre-press and Distribution

Administrative Services Department Documentation, Editing and Museum Division Editing and Publishing Unit Av. Almirante Reis, 71-2<sup>nd</sup> 1150-012 Lisboa

## Printing

Administrative Services Department Logistics Division

Lisbon, July 2009

# Number of copies

170

ISBN 978-989-8061-86-7 ISSN 0870-0117 Legal Deposit No 3664/83

# Real Wages and the Business Cycle: Accounting for Worker and Firm Heterogeneity<sup>\*</sup>

Anabela Carneiro

Universidade do Porto and CEF.UP

Paulo Guimarães University of South Carolina, CEF.UP and IZA Bonn

Pedro Portugal<sup>†</sup>

Banco de Portugal and Universidade NOVA de Lisboa

July 2009

#### Abstract

Using a longitudinal matched employer-employee data set for Portugal over the 1986-2005 period, this study analyzes the heterogeneity in wages responses to aggregate labor market conditions for newly hired workers and existing workers. Accounting for both worker and firm heterogeneity, the data support the hypothesis that entry wages are much more procyclical than current wages. A one-point increase in the unemployment rate decreases wages of newly hired male workers by around 2.8% and by just 1.4% for workers in continuing jobs. Since we estimate the fixed effects, we were able to show that unobserved heterogeneity plays a non-trivial role in

<sup>\*</sup>We thank John T. Addison, António Antunes, Manuel Arellano, Olivier Blanchard, V. V. Chari, Thomas Lemieux, Mark Gertler, Christian Heafke, Chris Pissarides, Julian Rotemberg, Frank Smets, Carlos Robalo Marques, Antonella Trigari, and participants at the Banque de France conference in Paris, the WDN in Frankfurt, and the AEA conference in San Francisco for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank Lucena Vieira for outstanding computational assistance. We are grateful to Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia for financial support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Adress: Avenida Almirante Reis, 71, 1150-165 Lisboa, Portugal; tel.: 351 21 3128410; e-mail: jppdias@bportugal.pt.

the cyclicality of wages. In particular, worker fixed effects of new hires and separating workers behave countercyclically, whereas firm fixed effects exhibit a procyclical pattern. Finally, the results reveal, for all workers, a wage-productivity elasticity of 1.2, slightly above the one-forone response predicted by the Mortensen-Pissarides model.

JEL classification: J31; E24; E32;

 $Keywords\colon$  wage cyclicality; hires; firm-specific effects; compositional effects; labor productivity

## 1 Introduction

The cyclical behavior of real wages has been the subject of many studies since the debate of Keynes (1939), Dunlop (1938), and Tarshis (1939). Earlier studies based on aggregate data showed some ambiguous results. In this case, the best conclusion is that the choice of the time period analysis, price deflator, and cyclical indicator, as well as the choice between wage rates and average earnings (including overtime or not), may substantially affect the estimates of real wage cyclicality [Abraham and Haltiwanger (1995)]. One reason why these studies have reached no definitive conclusions resides in the fact that they have been performed at the aggregate level. In particular, they have ignored the changes in the composition of the workforce over the cycle. The presence of compositional effects has attracted much attention in the last years and recent micro-data studies based on panel data for the U.S. showed that composition bias plays an important role on real wage behavior along the business cycle [see, for example, Mitchell et al. (1985), Bils (1985), Keane et al. (1988) and Solon et al. (1994). In fact, cyclical changes in the composition of the work force may induce a countercyclical bias in the aggregate real wage. Aggregate measures of real wages tend to give more weight to low-skill workers during expansions than during recessions. The argument is that if less-skilled workers are more vulnerable to layoff, they will account for a smaller share of employment in recessions than in expansions. An additional general problem of aggregation is that it assumes that the relationship between real wages and the business cycle is the same for all individuals or groups of individuals. If wrong, the estimates of real wage cyclicality include a specification bias.

Over the last two decades, a number of studies based on micro-panel data for the U.S. (and recently for Britain) point quite decisively toward a procyclical behavior of real wages.<sup>1</sup> Panel microdata also show that real wage changes of job movers are much more procyclical than real wage changes of job stayers [see Solon *et al.* (1994), Shin (1994) and Devereux (2001) for the U.S. and Devereux and Hart (2006) and Hart (2006) for Britain].

Several theoretical explanations have been advanced in order to explain why job changers have more procyclical wages. The most frequent explanation relies on the existence of interindustry wage differentials. This interpretation was first advanced by Okun (1973), who argued that certain jobs offer rents to workers. If these sectors are also more cyclically sensitive, workers can switch into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For insightful surveys see Brandolini (1995) and Abraham and Haltiwanger (1995).

high-paying jobs during booms because such jobs are less tightly rationed during these times.

Beaudry and DiNardo (1991) advanced a more convincing explanation for the differences in wage cyclicality between job stayers and job changers, even though their explanation abstracts from heterogeneity across jobs. According to their findings, current unemployment rate does not affect wages after controlling for the best labor market conditions since a worker was hired at his/her current job. Indeed, when workers are not mobile between employers, current labor market conditions do not affect current wages. In this case, current wages are negatively correlated with the unemployment rate at the time each worker was hired. However, if workers are very mobile, wages are correlated with the best labor market conditions observed since the worker was hired.

Barlevy (2001) offered a new explanation for the existence of more procyclical wages of job changers: compensating differentials. In order to show that compensating differentials instead of interindustry wage differentials generate a more procyclical behavior of wages of changers, Barlevy developed a model that relates unemployment insurance and wage cyclicality. His empirical finding of a negative relationship between wage cyclicality among job changers and the level of unemployment insurance benefits, supports the view that job changers' wages are more procyclical because in booms they obtain jobs that pay a compensating differential for the risk of layoff. In this case, workers who change jobs during booms may not realize true gains from the higher wages they receive, since these gains are typically offset during recessions.

Recent microeconometric evidence on wage cyclicality also gave a new insight to the discussion about business cycle fluctuations of unemployment and vacancies and wage stickiness. Indeed, some authors argue that the Mortensen-Pissarides [Mortensen and Pissarides (1994) and Pissarides (2000)] search and matching model cannot explain the cyclical volatility of unemployment and vacancies [Hall (2003) and Shimer (2005)]. Furthermore, they also show that if the hypothesis of rigid wages is introduced, the model performs much better in matching fluctuations in unemployment and vacancies.

In a recent exercise, however, Pissarides (2007) showed that the wage stickiness hypothesis does not seem to match the empirical data. Exploring the idea that in the search and matching model job creation is driven by the difference between the expected productivity and the expected cost of labor in new matches, Pissarides shows that in equilibrium the wages negotiated in new matches are about as cyclical as productivity. This prediction of the model seems to be consistent with the empirical evidence that wages in new matches are much more procyclical than wages in continuing

jobs.

Haefke *et al.* (2007) also defend this point of view. Using the Current Population Survey (CPS) they showed that wages of newly hired workers are much more volatile than aggregate wages and respond one-to-one to changes in labor productivity.

In this context, the motivation to empirical research is to have appropriate data that allow testing if wages in new matches are more volatile than those in continuing jobs. As mentioned above, previous empirical studies have been showing that job changers' wages are much more procyclical than job stayers' wages. However, and since these studies do not seem to fully control for compositional effects, it can always be argued that the empirical evidence merely reflects the impact on wages of workers drifting from low wage firms to high wage firms in expansions, and vice-versa during recessions.

This paper adds to the empirical literature on wage cyclicality in several ways. The main contribution is the analysis of the impact of the cycle on real wage growth of new hires versus stayers within the same firm. The key question to be answered is: are starting-wages, conditional on the long-term wage policy of the firm, more sensitive to the economic cycle? To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that explicitly deals with this issue controlling simultaneously for worker and firm unobserved heterogeneity, which allows handling of both sources of composition bias in wage cyclicality. In fact, beyond the possibility that average worker quality may change over the cycle, for several reasons job quality may also exhibit a cyclical pattern. Some authors have provided evidence that in recessions individuals take lower-paying jobs that dissolve more quickly whereas in expansions firms create high-paying jobs that last longer (see, for example, Beaudry and DiNardo (1991) and Bowlus (1995)). Furthermore, the industry composition may also change over the cycle. This paper points out the importance of controlling for both worker and firm unobserved heterogeneity when analyzing the cyclical behavior of wages. Worker and firm unobserved heterogeneity, both respond strongly to changes in unemployment rates.

For this purpose a unique and rich matched employer-employee longitudinal data set - *Quadros* de *Pessoal* - will be used and a new iterative procedure that provides the exact OLS solution to the two-way fixed effects model will be employed.

Two additional contributions of this paper deserve attention. The first is to test if the impact of the unemployment rate on wages really reflects labor-market tightness disentangling between the job finding probability and the job separation probability. Finally, we analyze how the two

components of observed wages - bargained wage and the wage cushion - evolve over the cycle.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the architecture of the Portuguese wage setting system. In Section 3 the data set and methodology are described. The main results and some robustness checks are discussed in Section 4. Conclusions are outlined in Section 5.

# 2 The Architecture of the Portuguese Wage Setting System

## 2.1 Collective Bargaining

The Portuguese Constitution provides the juridical principles of collective bargaining and grants unions the right to negotiate. The effects of the agreements are formally recognized and considered valid sources of labor law.

Concerning the bargaining mechanisms, a distinction should be made between the *conventional* regime and the *mandatory* regime. Conventional bargaining results from direct negotiation between employers' and workers' representatives. A mandatory regime, on the other hand, does not result from direct bargaining between workers and employers, being instead dictated by the Ministry of Labor. The Ministry can extend an existing collective agreement to other workers initially not covered by it or it can create a new one, if it is not viable to extend the application of an existing document. A mandatory regime is applied when workers are not covered by unions, when one of the parties involved refuses to negotiate, or bargaining is obstructed in any other way.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the impact of collective bargaining goes far beyond union membership and the distinction between union and non-union workers or firms becomes meaningless.

Usually collective negotiations are conducted at the industry or occupation level. Firm-level negotiation, which for a time was a common practice in large public enterprises, has lost importance. The law does not establish mechanisms of coordination between agreements reached in different negotiations; however preference is given to vertical over horizontal agreements, and the principle of the most favorable condition to the worker generally applies.

Since most collective agreements are industry-wide, covering companies with very different sizes and economic conditions, their contents tend to be general, setting minimum working conditions,

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Beyond the existence of compulsive extension mechanisms, voluntary extensions are also possible, when one economic partner (workers' representative or employer) decides to subscribe to an agreement that it had initially not signed.

in particular the base monthly wage for each category of workers, overtime pay and the normal duration of work. Moreover, only a narrow set of topics is updated annually, and therefore the content of collective agreements is often pointed out as being too immobile and containing little innovation.

Whatever the wage floor agreed upon for each category of workers at the collective bargaining table, firms are free to pay higher wages, and they often deviate from that benchmark, adjusting to firm-specific conditions [see Cardoso and Portugal (2005)].

The Portuguese system of industrial relations apparently presents features of a centralized wage bargaining system. Indeed, massive collective agreements, often covering a whole industry, predominate in the economy, while firm-level collective bargaining covers a low proportion (less than 10 percent) of the workforce. Moreover, trade union confederations, employers' federations and the Government meet at the national level each year to set a guideline for wage increases (the so-called "social concertation"). However, this guideline is not mandatory and merely guides the collective bargaining that follows. The Council for Social Concertation, later replaced by the Social and Economic Council, was created in 1984 as a tripartite forum (government, workers, and employers' representatives) with the aim of promoting "social concertation", but its role concerning income and wage policies remains limited.

On the other side, the fragmented nature of the trade union structure, the fragmented employers' associations and the multiplicity of bargaining units provides the system with a certain degree of decentralization. Even though collective bargaining in Portugal takes place at a sectorial level and most workers are covered by the bargaining system due to the existence of mandatory extensions, the coordination between bargaining units is rather limited. In fact, the right to negotiate is given to every employer or employers' association and to every trade union (regardless of the number of affiliated members they represent), and the parties have the possibility of choosing the level of negotiation - regional, occupational, industrial, or national. This leads to the existence of a diffuse and complex system of wage bargaining with negotiation fragmented and agreements multiplied.

#### 2.1.1 Minimum Wages

A mandatory minimum monthly wage was set for the first time in Portugal in 1974, covering workers aged 20 or older and excluding agriculture and domestic servants. Currently, there is a single legal minimum wage that applies to all workers. Workers formally classified as apprentices receive just

80 percent of the full rate.

The minimum wage is updated annually by the parliament, under government proposal.<sup>3</sup> Decisions on the level of the minimum wage are taken on a discretionary basis, usually taking into account past and predicted inflation and after consulting the social partners.

In 2005, the minimum monthly wage level was  $374.7 \in$ , representing 40 percent of the average monthly wage in the private sector. In this same year the proportion of workers that received the minimum legal wage was about 5 percent.<sup>4</sup>

## **3** Data and Methodology

## 3.1 Data Description

Data for this study come from a unique and rich matched employer-employee data set - Quadros de Pessoal (QP). QP is a mandatory annual employment survey collected by the Portuguese Ministry of Labor and Social Solidarity, which covers virtually all establishments with wage earners.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, each year every establishment with wage earners is legally obliged to fill in a standardized questionnaire. Requested data cover the establishment itself (location, industry, and employment), the firm (location, industry, employment, sales, ownership, and legal setting) and each of its workers (gender, age, education, skill, occupation, admission date, earnings, and duration of work). The information on earnings is very complete. It includes the base wage (gross pay for normal hours of work), regular benefits, irregular benefits, and overtime pay, as well as the mechanism of wage bargaining. Information on normal and overtime hours of work is also available.

Eighteen spells of QP, from 1986 to 2005, were available for this study.<sup>6</sup> From 1986 to 1993 the information was collected in March of each year, and since 1994, in October.

There are three main reasons that make this survey a good source for the study of wage cyclicality. The first is its coverage. By law, the questionnaire is made available to every worker in a public space of the establishment. This requirement facilitates the work of the services of the Ministry of Labor that monitor compliance of firms with the law (e. g., illegal work). Indeed, the administrative nature of the data and its public availability implies a high degree of coverage and reliability.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The only exceptions are 1982, when it was not updated, and 1989, when it was updated twice.

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{Source:}$  Ministry of Labor and Social Solidarity (GEP) - Earnings Survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Public administration and non-market services are excluded.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Worker level files are not available for the years of 1990 and 2001.

<sup>8</sup> 

Currently, the data set collects data on about 350,000 firms and 3 million employees. Second, this survey is conducted on a yearly basis, and its identifying scheme allows accurate identification of firms and workers, making it possible to track them over the years. Each firm entering the database is assigned a unique identifying number and the Ministry implements several checks to ensure that a firm that has already reported to the database is not assigned a different identification number. Using this identifier it is possible to pinpoint all firms that have entered and exited economic activity. The workers' identification number is based on a transformation of his/her social security number. We match the individuals over the years based on their identification number, gender, year and month of birth. Finally, this source enables the matching of firms and their workers, which allows us to classify the situation of the worker on the job (stayer/mover, accession/separation). Moreover, employer-reported wage information is known to be subject to less measurement error than worker-reported data.

Our data set includes the population of full-time wage earners in the private non-farm sector, aged between 20 and 55 years old.<sup>7</sup> We have also excluded those individuals for whom an explanatory variable is not available for a particular year, namely those with no information on wages and hours worked. In order to minimize the effects of outliers in wages, we dropped 1 percent of the observations corresponding to the top and bottom tails of the wage distribution.

In order to control for workers' unobserved heterogeneity using a first-differences approach, most empirical studies on real wage cyclicality tend to restrict the sample to workers employed for two consecutive years. In this study this restriction is avoided in order to be able to include in the analysis those individuals with a weak labor force/employment attachment. Thus, our data set includes the individuals that are present in two consecutive years,<sup>8</sup> but also contains those individuals that are present in the QP registers in year t but are absent in year t + 1 (hereinafter 'separations').<sup>9</sup> It also includes the newly hired workers, the so-called 'accessions'. A worker is classified in period t as newly hired if his tenure in that year is less than or equal to 12 months. In this context, a newly hired worker may refer to an individual that moved between firms or to an individual that comes from non-employment or the public sector.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In agriculture a considerable amount of payments are non-pecuniary. We thought it better to exclude these workers from the analysis. In any case, the number of these workers is almost negligible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>It should be noted that when a worker is present in the QP registers in more than one firm in a given year, we then retain the record for the firm in which the worker had the highest number of hours worked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Hence, separations are only identified between 1986 and 2004.

<sup>9</sup> 

Employees present in two consecutive years may also be classified as 'stayers' or 'movers'. A 'stayer' is identified as a worker that was employed in the same firm for two consecutive years. A 'mover' is defined as a worker that moved to a different firm from period t - 1 to period t.

The male population includes 14,242,814 year×individuals observations, corresponding to around 4 million individuals matched by identifying number and date of birth. According to Table 1, male stayers are numerically the most important group, corresponding to 10,882,692 observations. Furthermore, 1,203,119 observations refer to movers, 2,410,850 to new hires, and 3,445,218 to separations. The female population contains 9,398,893 year×individuals observations, corresponding to around 2.9 million individuals. Female stayers correspond to 7,005,185 observations, movers to 672,821, accessions to 1,606,385 observations, and separations to 2,415,541. It should be noted that, in a given year, an individual may be classified simultaneously as an accession and separation or, for example, as a mover and a new hire. Thus, the sum of the observations in each of the four groups does not correspond to the total number of observations.

Hereinafter, we will focus our attention on stayers, accessions and separations.

Table 1. Data Set Composition					
	Males Fema				
Stayers	10,882,682	7,005,185			
Movers	1,203,119	672,821			
Accessions	2,410,850	$1,\!606,\!385$			
Separations	3,445,218	2,415,541			

Table 1: Data Set Composition

Tables A.1.1 and A.1.2 in Appendix A describe the data for male and female workers, respectively.

## 3.2 Empirical Methodology

The empirical model that will be used to test for real wage cyclicality is a level wage equation with controls for worker observed and unobserved heterogeneity, firm unobserved heterogeneity, and business cycle conditions. The option to define the wage equation in levels is justified by the need to estimate the model for workers' hires and separations since, by construction, panel data are not available in these two cases. Thus, in order to account for worker/firm unobserved heterogeneity, the fixed-effects estimator will be used instead of the standard first-differences estimator. This avoids restricting the sample to solely continuously employed workers. The main problem with this procedure is that workers or firms that appear only once over the entire period of analysis are excluded.<sup>10</sup>

The static form of the model is:

$$\log w_{ift} = \lambda_i + \gamma_f + \alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^2 + \mathbf{x}_{ift} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \xi \, cycle_t + u_{ift}$$

where  $\log w_{ift}$  is the natural logarithm of the real wage of individual *i*, in firm *f* at time *t*,  $cycle_t$ is a cyclical indicator such as the aggregate unemployment rate,<sup>11</sup> *t* and  $t^2$  are a time trend and its square and  $\mathbf{x}_{ift}$  is a vector of time-varying worker characteristics.  $\lambda_i$  is an unobserved worker fixed effect,  $\gamma_f$  a firm-specific effect, and  $u_{ift}$  is a zero-mean random term with constant variance. Since we are particularly interested in comparing the behavior of real wages over the cycle between stayers, accessions, and separations, the model also includes dummy variables for hirings and separations and an interaction term between those dummies and the cyclical indicator.

The coefficient of interest is  $\xi$ . If the cyclical indicator corresponds to the unemployment rate, the parameter  $\xi$  measures the percent wage change in response to a one-point increase in the unemployment rate. A negative value of  $\xi$  implies that wages rise when unemployment falls, so that wages are procyclical. If, on the contrary,  $\xi$  is positive, wages are countercyclical. As mentioned before, the job finding and the job separation probabilities will also be used as measures of the business cycle.<sup>12</sup>

## 4 Empirical Results

## 4.1 Real Wage Sensitivity to the Unemployment Rate

Table 2 reports the estimates of the coefficient of the unemployment rate with respect to wages  $(\xi)$  for male workers. Besides the aggregate unemployment rate, each regression includes age (and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Thus, all firm-worker singletons were excluded from the data set, representing around 21% of the total number of observations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Since wages are set at least six months to one year in advance, there is a delayed relationship between wages and economic growth. To capture this lagged effect we use the unemployment rate of the previous year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>We thank Olivier Blanchard for this suggestion.

In Table A.2 of Appendix A the unemployment rate, the job finding probability, and the job separation probability are reported for the 1985-2005 period.

its square) as a proxy for labor market experience, a set of dummies for worker's qualification and education levels and a quadratic time trend. The dependent variable is defined as the natural log of real hourly earnings. Hourly earnings correspond to the ratio of total regular payroll and total number of normal hours. Total regular payroll includes base wages, seniority payments and regular benefits. The wages were deflated using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) and are expressed in 1985 Euros.<sup>13</sup>

The standard OLS estimates exhibit a strong procyclical behavior of real wages for all workers. A 1-percentage point (p. p.) decrease in the national unemployment rate raises hourly earnings of male stayers by 3.17 percent, by 3.59 percent for newly hired workers and by 3.5 percent for recently separated workers.

Controlling for firm unobserved heterogeneity leads to a reduction in the semi-elasticities estimates of wages with respect to the unemployment rate, most notably for separations. The results also reveal that real wages of newly hired workers are more responsive to cycle fluctuations than real wages of separating workers or workers in continuing jobs. A 1-p. p. decrease in the national unemployment rate raises hourly earnings of newly hired workers by 3.53 percent and by only 2.94 percent and 2.72 percent, respectively, for stayers and separating workers.

Accounting only for worker unobserved heterogeneity yields to a further decrease in the semielasticities of wages, deepening the difference across stayers/separations and accessions. The semielasticities of wages with respect to the unemployment rate are -1.5 percent, -2.73 percent, and -1.45 percent for stayers, accessions, and separations, respectively. Comparing with previous empirical studies that use micro panel data, we can say that the estimate for stayers lies slightly below the bound of -1.93 obtained by Devereux and Hart (2006) for the U.K..<sup>14</sup> <sup>15</sup>

Finally, the wage level equation was re-estimated including both a worker and a firm fixed effect. Estimation of a model with two high-dimensional fixed effects is a non-trivial problem. In Appendix B we discuss our estimation strategy, which provides the exact OLS solution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Between 1986-93 the price index refers to March of year t - 1 to March of year t, whereas from 1994 to 2005 the price index corresponds to October of year t - 1 to October of year t.

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$ For a recent summary of these results see Pissarides (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Using the data set from *Quadros de Pessoal* for the 1986-2004 period, Martins (2007) obtained an estimate for male workers employed in two consecutive years of -0.62. The difference behind these results may reside in the estimation procedure employed by the author, who used a two-stage first differences estimator.

The unemployment rate coefficient estimates from the two fixed effects model are presented at the bottom of Table 2. These results corroborate our previous findings. First, once worker and firm heterogeneity are accounted for, no significant differences are found in the estimates of the cyclicality of hourly earnings between stayers and separations. Second, entry wages are much more procyclical than current wages. In particular, we found that a 1-p. p. increase in the unemployment rate decreases hourly earnings by 1.41 percent for male stayers and by 2.77 percent for newly hired workers.

# Table 2: Real Wage Sensitivity to the Unemployment Rate - Men Portugal, 1986-2005 (N=11,204,120)

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations		
OLS estimator					
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-3.17	-3.59	-3.50		
Standard errors	(0.014)	(0.039)	(0.030)		
Within estimator, firm fixed effects					
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-2.94	-3.53	-2.72		
Standard errors	(0.001)	(0.035)	(0.020)		
Within estimator, worker fixed effects					
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.50	-2.73	-1.45		
Standard errors	(0.001)	(0.021)	(0.016)		
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects					
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.41	-2.77	-1.14		
Standard errors	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.011)		

Dependent variable: log of real hourly earnings

## 4.2 Accounting for Aggregate Uncertainty

In our estimation we are using the whole population of paid workers in the private sector in the Portuguese economy. In a cross-sectional sense, there is no sampling error to take into account. Regardless of this largely philosophical discussion, the OLS standard errors are, of course, outrageously low. This procedure, however, does not properly accommodate the aggregate uncertainty,

13

in a conventional time-series sense. After all, one observes only 18 different values for the cycle variables. We provide two ways to deal with this problem. The first approach uses robust clustered standard-errors, a common solution used to circumvent the presence of aggregate covariates in microeconometric regression models. The second approach uses the results from an aggregated regression.<sup>16</sup> This latter approach is in the same spirit as the two-stage procedure used in this literature, where, in the first stage, the regression model includes the year dummies and, in the second stage, the estimated coefficients of the year dummies are regressed on the cycle variable.

#### Table 3: Real Wage Sensitivity to the Unemployment Rate - Men

#### Accounting for Aggregate Uncertainty

#### Portugal, 1986-2005

Dependent	variable:	log	of real	hourly	earnings
Dependent	variabic.	105	or rear	noury	carmigo

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.41*	-2.77*	-1.14*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.403)	(0.447)	(0.404)
Simple regression on the aggregated variables (N=18)			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.39*	-2.66*	-1.03***
OLS standard errors	(0.448)	(0.401)	(0.495)

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

From Table 3 one can extract three important results. First, accounting for aggregate uncertainty greatly inflates the standard errors, which increased by a factor of one hundred. Second, the two practical solutions to correct the standard errors provide practically identical outcomes. And three, the estimates of the semi-elasticities produced by the two procedures are very close.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>We thank Manuel Arellano for having suggested this solution.

The implementation details for both approaches are discussed in Appendix B.

## 4.3 The Cyclical Behavior of Worker and Firm Heterogeneity

Our estimation procedure produces estimates of the worker  $(\hat{\lambda}_i)$  and firm fixed effects  $(\hat{\gamma}_f)$ . Those fixed effects capture observed and unobserved constant heterogeneity. Those effects are, obviously, constant. The presence or absence of workers and firms over the sampling period, however, may exhibit a cyclical pattern. In Table 4 we look at the cyclical behavior (as measured by the unemployment rate) of the worker and firm fixed effects.<sup>17</sup>

Worker persistent heterogeneity (say, observed and unobserved skills) is mildly countercyclical, in particular, for separating workers. This evidence seems to suggest that during recessions firms hire and fire skilled workers in larger proportions. Firm heterogeneity (meaning say, higher paying firms), however, is procyclical, most notably for newly hired and separating workers. This seems to be in line with evidence that in booms high-paying firms create/destroy jobs in larger proportions than low-paying firms. Overall, for the unemployment cycle variable, compositional bias plays a significant role.

Table 4: The Cyclical Behavior of Worker and Firm Heterogeneity - Men Portugal, 1986-2005 (N=11,204,120) Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
Dependent variable: $\hat{\lambda}_i$	0.104	0.487**	1.073*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.112)	(0.205)	(0.215)
Dependent variable: $\hat{\gamma}_f$	0.156	-1.373*	-1.082*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.124)	(0.134)	(0.161)

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>These estimates were obtained running an OLS regression of the fixed effect estimates on the unemployment rate and a quadratic time trend.

## 4.4 Real Wage Sensitivity to Aggregate Labor Productivity

An alternative approach to analyze the cyclical behavior of wages, which is more closely rooted in the Mortensen and Pissarides theoretical framework, is to estimate the elasticity of wages with respect to aggregate labor productivity. In order to analyze the reaction of real wages to labor productivity, we replace the unemployment rate with the measure of aggregate labor productivity in the Portuguese private sector, as a cycle variable. The aggregate labor productivity measure is defined as the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in the private sector. Aggregate labor productivity was deflated using the GDP deflator.<sup>18</sup> Table 5 shows that wages for newly hired workers, workers in ongoing job relationships, and workers leaving their jobs exhibit an elasticity that is not at odds with the theoretical notion that it should be one, that is, a one-for-one wage response to changes in labor productivity. Interestingly, Blanchard (2007) argues that one of the main reasons for the Portuguese macroeconomic imbalances is rooted in the recent evolution of real wages 20 percent above productivity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Table A.2 of Appendix A shows the evolution of aggregate labor productivity over the 1985-2005 period.

#### Table 5: Real Wage Sensitivity to Aggregate Labor Productivity - Men

#### Portugal, 1986-2005

D 1 /		1	C	1 1 1	
Dependent	variable	log	ot res	al hourly	earnings
Dependent	variabic.	105	OI LOC	n nourry	carmigo

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
OLS estimator			
Cycle variable: Aggregate Labor Productivity	0.707	0.713	0.648
Within estimator, firm fixed effect $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Aggregate Labor Productivity	0.694	0.672	0.667
Within estimator, worker fixed effect $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Aggregate Labor Productivity	1.306	1.307	1.230
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects (N=11,204,120) $$			
Cycle variable: Aggregate Labor Productivity	1.217*	$1.264^{*}$	1.185*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.061)	(0.060)	(0.061)
Simple regression on aggregated variables $(N=18)$			
Cycle variable: Aggregate Labor Productivity	1.212*	$1.245^{*}$	1.169*
OLS standard errors	(0.069)	(0.050)	(0.051)

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

## 4.5 Bargained Wage, Wage Cushion and the Business Cycle

Here we examine the extent to which contractual wages, on the one hand, and firm-specific wage arrangements, in the form of the wage cushion, on the other, are sensitive to the business cycle. Cardoso and Portugal (2005) showed that in Portugal the wage cushion works as a mechanism to overcome the constraints imposed by collective bargaining, granting firms a certain freedom when setting wages. In this context, it will be interesting to analyze the extent to which contractual wages and firm deviations from contractual wages vary over the business cycle.

The contractual wage was computed adopting the procedure suggested by Cardoso and Portugal

(2005). Thus, the BARGW was defined as the mode of the monthly base wage for each worker category within each collective agreement. The wage cushion (WCUSH) was computed as the log difference between the current actual wage and the current contractual wage for that professional category. As exhibited in Table 6.A, the bargained wage is very sensitive to the evolution of the unemployment rate, especially for new hires. The wage cushion, however, exhibits a cyclical behavior only for new hires (see Table 6.B). It seems that during expansions firms are forced to pay starting wages significantly above those settled in sectoral wage agreements.

## Table 6.A: Sensitivity of Bargained Wages to the Unemployment Rate - Men

#### Portugal, 1986-2005

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects (N=11,204,120)			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.93*	-2.18*	-1.67*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.323)	(0.366)	(0.328)
Simple regression on aggregated variables (N=18)			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	-1.91*	-2.28*	-1.76*
OLS standard errors	(0.358)	(0.330)	(0.415)

Dependent	variable:	log	of real	bargained	wage
Dopondone	variabio.	108	or rour	Sangamoa	mage

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

#### Table 6.B: Sensitivity of the Wage Cushion to the Unemployment Rate - Men

#### Portugal, 1986-2005

Dependent variable	: log of real	bargained wage
--------------------	---------------	----------------

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	0.10	-0.67*	0.07
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.165)	(0.195)	(0.142)
Simple regression on aggregated variables (N=18)			
Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate	0.06	-0.58*	0.12
OLS standard errors	(0.136)	(0.224)	(0.184)

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

## 4.6 Robustness Checks

#### 4.6.1 Alternative Wage Measures

In order to check if our results are robust to alternative definitions of wages, the model was reestimated using alternative wage measures. Three other measures of wages were considered: the monthly base wage, the hourly base wage and the hourly earnings including overtime pay. The hourly base wage is defined as the ratio between the monthly base wage and the total number of normal hours worked in the month. The hourly earnings including overtime pay is defined as the ratio between total regular payroll including overtime pay and the sum of normal and extra hours of work. As mentioned above, the wages were deflated using the CPI.

The two-way fixed effects results are presented in Table 7 for male and female workers. For comparison reasons the unemployment coefficient estimates for hourly earnings are reported in the first row.

Regardless the measure of wages used, the results exhibit a slightly less procyclical behavior of women's real wages compared to men's real wages. This empirical evidence is in accordance with previous findings by Tremblay (1990) and Solon *et al.* (1994).

The inclusion of overtime pay does not greatly change the estimate of the unemployment rate

coefficient for either men or women. This result is not surprising since in the Portuguese labor market overtime hours represent a small percentage of total hours worked.<sup>19</sup>

Comparing the figures obtained for the estimates of the unemployment coefficient using a monthly measure instead of an hourly measure, leads us to conclude that monthly wages are more procyclical than hourly wages, suggesting, as should be expected, that hours worked also exhibit a procyclical pattern.

<sup>19</sup> In the 1986-2005 period, overtime work for firms employing paid labor corresponds, on average, to 0.9% of the total number of hours worked.

## Table 7: Real Wage Sensitivity to the Unemployment Rate

## Portugal, 1986-2005

## Alternative Wage Measures

## Cycle variable: Unemployment Rate

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
Men (N=11,204,120)			
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects			
Dependent variable			
Hourly Earnings	-1.41*	-2.77*	-1.14*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.403)	(0.447)	(0.404)
Hourly Earnings inc OT	-1.39*	-2.66*	-1.08*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.400)	(0.389)	(0.413)
Hourly Base Wage	-1.54*	-2.80*	-1.30*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.397)	(0.392)	(0.388)
Monthly Base Wage	-1.80*	-2.91*	-1.58*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.311)	(0.330)	(0.280)
Women (N=7,293,755)			
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects			
Dependent variable			
Hourly Earnings	-1.11*	-2.31*	-0.75**
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.360)	(0.342)	(0.363)
Hourly Earnings inc OT	-1.10*	-2.30*	-0.73**
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.364)	(0.345)	(0.368)
Hourly Base Wage	-1.34*	-2.57*	-1.04*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.379)	(0.350)	(0.374)
Monthly Base Wage	-1.57*	-2.53*	-1.22*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.285)	(0.271)	(0.282)

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

#### 4.6.2 Disentangling between Job Finding and Job Separation Probabilities

In this Section the impact of the business cycle on real wages is analyzed using a different cyclical indicator. In particular, the job finding and the job separation probabilities in period t - 1 were included in the wage equation as alternative measures to the unemployment rate.<sup>20</sup> The results are shown in Table 8. The coefficients of the new measures have the expected signs: a negative effect on hourly earnings for the job separation probability and a positive one for the job finding probability. Thus, these figures are consistent with the unemployment rate estimates, though their magnitudes cannot be directly compared.

Real wages react both to changes in the probability of finding a job and to changes in the job separation probability. For example, a 1-p. p. increase in the job finding probability corresponds to an increase of 0.7 percent in real wages for newly hired workers, whereas an increase of 1-p. p. in the probability of job separation corresponds to a real wage decrease of 12 percent for newly hired workers. Despite the dissimilitude of the coefficient estimates, these two variables generate real wage fluctuations of identical amplitude.

Finally, these results indicate a less pronounced difference in the behavior of real hourly earnings over the cycle across stayers and accessions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>These probabilities were calculated according to Franco and Torres (2008). The job separation probability is given by  $\frac{u_{t+1}^S}{e_t}$ , where  $u_{t+1}^S$  is the number of short-term unemployed persons in quarter t + 1 (unemployed for fewer than three months) and  $e_t$  corresponds to the level of employment in quarter t. The job finding probability is given by  $\frac{u_t - u_{t+1} - u_{t+1}^S}{u_t}$ , where  $u_t$  refers to the stock of unemployed persons in quarter t.

	Stayers	Accessions	Separations
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Job Separation Probability	-0.091*	-0.117*	-0.126*
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.025)	(0.028)	(0.022)
Simple regression on aggregated variables (N=18)			
Cycle variable: Job Separation Probability	-0.091*	-0.118*	-0.127*
OLS standard errors	(0.035)	(0.033)	(0.034)
OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects $(N=11,204,120)$			
Cycle variable: Job Finding Probability	0.004*	0.007*	$0.005^{*}$
Cluster-robust standard errors	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Simple regression on aggregated variables (N=18)			
Cycle variable: Job Finding Probability	0.004*	0.006*	0.005*
OLS standard errors	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)

Table 8: Real Wage Sensitivity to Job Separation and Job Finding Probability - Men Dependent variable: log of real hourly earnings

Note: \* significant at 1%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 10%.

# 5 Conclusion

The aim of this study is to provide further evidence on real wage cyclicality using a rich longitudinal matched employer-employee data set for the 1986-2005 period, addressing the issue of heterogeneity in wages responses to aggregate labor market conditions, across different groups of workers: stayers, accessions, and separations. For this purpose, we employ information on the registry of all Portuguese wage earners in the private sector, comprising around 19 million worker-year observations.

The main contribution of this paper is to analyze the impact of the business cycle on real wages, accounting simultaneously for worker and firm permanent unobserved heterogeneity. To do this, we employ a new iterative procedure that provides the exact OLS solution to the two-way fixed

effects model. To the best of our knowledge, earlier empirical research on wage cyclicality has never considered the role of firm heterogeneity, restricting the attention solely on the compositional bias generated by the presence of worker unobserved heterogeneity.

The empirical findings emerging from this exercise are sixfold. First, accounting for both worker and firm heterogeneity, there is an indication of a moderate procyclical behavior of real wages for stayers. The semi-elasticities of wages with respect to the unemployment rate are on the order of -1.1 percent for females to -1.4 percent for males. That is, among workers in continuing jobs, an increase of a percentage point in the unemployment rate leads to a real wage decline between 1.1 and 1.4 percent. Identical estimates were obtained for recently separated workers.

Second, wages of recently hired workers are much more procyclical than the wages of continuing employed workers. A one-point increase in the unemployment rate decreases real wages of newly hired workers by around 2.8 percent for men, and 2.3 percent for women. These results are robust to changes in the definition of wages. They seem to vindicate Pissarides' (2007) presumption that one needs a semi-elasticity close to 3 to be able to explain the cyclical volatility of unemployment and vacancies within the Mortensen-Pissarides model.

Third, and more directly related to the volatility puzzle debate, we found that wages for all types of workers exhibit an elasticity that is not at odds with the theoretical notion that it should be one, that is, a one-for-one wage response to changes in labor productivity.

Fourth, compositional bias plays a very important role. Failure to account for worker unobserved (constant observed) heterogeneity may induce a countercyclical bias in wage cyclicality, whereas failure to control for firm unobserved heterogeneity may lead to a procylical bias, at least for new hires and separations.

Fifth, when we employed alternative measures of the business cycle, that is, when we used the job finding probability and the job separation probability instead of the unemployment rate, we again found support for the cyclicality of real wages. In those specifications, however, the distinction between new hires and stayers is less sharp, most notably, for the measure of job separation probability.

And sixth, because firms often pay wages above the wage floors negotiated between the employer associations and the trade unions, we decomposed the observed wage between the bargained wage component – that agreed at the bargaining table – and the wage cushion component – that obtained from the difference between the actual wage and the bargained wage. We found that, for all workers,

the bargained wage is very sensitive to the evolution of the unemployment rate, whereas the wage cushion exhibits a cyclical behavior only for newly hired workers.

# References

- Abraham, K. and J. Haltiwanger (1995), "Real Wages and the Business Cycle", Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. 33, pp. 1215-1264.
- Barlevy, G. (2001), "Why Are the Wages of Job Changers So Procyclical?", Journal of Labor Economics, Vol. 19, pp. 837-878.
- [3] Beaudry, P. and J. DiNardo (1991), "The Effect of Implicit Contracts on the Movement of Wages over the Business Cycle: Evidence from Micro Data", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 99, pp. 665-688.
- [4] Blanchard, O. (2007), "Adjustment within the Euro. The difficult Case of Portugal", Portuguese Economic Journal, Vol. 6, pp. 1–21.
- [5] Bils, M. (1985), "Real Wages over the Business Cycle: Evidence from Panel Data", Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 93, pp. 666-689.
- [6] Bowlus, A. (1995), "Matching Workers and Jobs: Cyclical Fluctuations in Match Quality", *Journal of Labor Economics*, Vol. 13, pp. 335-350.
- [7] Brandolini, A. (1995), "In Search of a Stylised Fact: Do Real Wages Exhibit a Consistent Pattern of Cyclical Variability?", *Journal of Economic Surveys*, Vol. 9, pp. 103-163.
- [8] Cardoso, A. and P. Portugal (2005), "Contractual Wages and the Wage Cushion under Different Bargaining Settings", *Journal of Labor Economics*, Vol. 23, pp. 875-902.
- [9] Devereux, P. (2001), "The Cyclicality of Real Wages within Employer-Employee Matches", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, Vol. 54, pp. 835-850.
- [10] Devereux, P. and R. Hart (2006), "Real Wage Cyclicality of Job Stayers, Within-Company Job Movers, and Between- Company Job Movers", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, Vol. 60, pp. 105-119.
- [11] Dunlop, J. (1938), "The Measurement of Real Wages and Money Wage Rates", Economic Journal, Vol. 48, pp. 413-434.

- [12] Franco, F. and S. Torres (2008), "The Cyclical Pattern of the Job finding and Separation Rates: Comparing the Portuguese and U.S. Labor Markets", mimeo.
- [13] Guimarães, P. and P. Portugal (2009), "A Simple Feasible Alternative Procedure to Estimate Models with High-Dimensional Fixed Effects, IZA DP No 3935.
- [14] Haefke, C., M. Sonntag and T. van Rens (2007), "Wage Rigidity and Job Creation", CREI Working Papers.
- [15] Hall, R. (2003), "Modern Theory of Unemployment Fluctuations: Empirics and Policy Applications", American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings, Vol. 93, pp. 145-150.
- [16] Hart, R. (2006), "Worker-Job Matches, Job Mobility and Real Wage Cyclicality", *Economica*, Vol. 73, pp. 287-298.
- [17] Keane, M., R. Moffitt and D. Runkle (1988), "Real Wages over the Business Cycle: Estimating the Impact of Heterogeneity with Micro Data", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 96, pp. 1236-1266.
- [18] Keynes, J. (1939), "Relative Movements of Real Wages and Output", *Economic Journal*, Vol. 49, pp. 34-51.
- [19] Martins, P. (2007), "Heterogeneity in Real Wage Cyclicality", Scottish Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 54, pp. 684-698.
- [20] Mitchell, M., M. Wallace and J. Warner (1985), "Real Wages over the Business Cycle: Some Further Evidence", Southern Economic Journal, Vol. 51, pp. 1162-1173.
- [21] Mortensen, D. and C. Pissarides (1994), "Job Creation and Job Destruction in the Theory of Unemployment", *Review of Economic Studies*, Vol. 61, pp. 397-415.
- [22] Okun (1973), "Upward Mobility in a High-pressure Economy", Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Vol. 1, pp. 207-252.
- [23] Pissarides, C. (2007), "The Unemployment Volatility Puzzle: Is Wage Stickiness the Answer?", CEP Discussion Paper No 839.

- [24] Pissarides, C. (2000), Equilibrium Unemployment Theory, 2nd. Ed., Cambridge, MA, MIT Press.
- [25] Shimer, R. (2005), "The Cyclical Behavior of Equilibrium Unemployment and Vacancies", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 95, pp. 25-49.
- [26] Shin, D. (1994), "Cyclicality of Real Wages among Young Men", *Economics Letters*, Vol. 46, pp. 137-142.
- [27] Smyth, G. (1996), "Partitioned Algorithms for Maximum Likelihood and other non-linear Estimation", *Statistics and Computing*, Vol. 6, pp. 201-216.
- [28] Solon, G., R. Barsky and J. Parker (1994), "Measuring the Cyclicality of Real Wages: How Important is Composition Bias?", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 109, pp. 1-25.
- [29] Tarshis, L. (1939), "Changes in Real and Money Wages", Economic Journal, Vol. 29, pp. 150-154.
- [30] Tremblay, C. (1990), "Wage Patterns of Women over the Business Cycle", Quarterly Review of Economics and Business, Vol. 30, pp. 90-101.

# **APPENDIX A - Descriptive Statistics**

Table A.1.1: Descriptive Statistics (1986-2005)

	MEAN	STDV	MIN	MAX
Variables				
Age (in years)	36.4	9.7	20.0	56.0
Education Level				
Less than Basic School	0.025		0.0	1.0
Basic School	0.398		0.0	1.0
Preparatory	0.208		0.0	1.0
Lower Secondary	0.149		0.0	1.0
Upper Secondary	0.145		0.0	1.0
College	0.054		0.0	1.0
Non-defined	0.020		0.0	1.0
Qualification Level				
Top Executives	0.046		0.0	1.0
Intermediary Executives	0.034		0.0	1.0
Supervisors	0.057		0.0	1.0
Highly Skilled and Skilled Professionals	0.569		0.0	1.0
Semi-skilled and Unskilled Professionals	0.221		0.0	1.0
Apprentices	0.041		0.0	1.0
Non-defined	0.032		0.0	1.0
Monthly Base Wage (in real euros)	536.3	381.5	50.7	4061.0
Hourly Base Wage (in real euros)	3.2	2.38	0.4	23.2
Hourly Earnings (in real euros)	3.8	3.14	0.4	194.0
Hourly Earnings inc OT (in real euros)	3.8	3.16	0.4	194.0

Men (N=11,204,120)

Women (N=7,293,755)				
	MEAN	STDV	MIN	MAX
Variables				
Age (in years)	34.4	9.1	20.0	56.0
Education Level				
Less than Basic School	0.024		0.0	1.0
Basic School	0.332		0.0	1.0
Preparatory	0.205		0.0	1.0
Lower Secondary	0.154		0.0	1.0
Upper Secondary	0.191		0.0	1.0
College	0.074		0.0	1.0
Non-defined	0.020		0.0	1.0
Qualification Level				
Top Executives	0.032		0.0	1.0
Intermediary Executives	0.027		0.0	1.0
Supervisors	0.024		0.0	1.0
Highly Skilled and Skilled Professionals	0.472		0.0	1.0
Semi-skilled and Unskilled Professionals	0.351		0.0	1.0
Apprentices	0.069		0.0	1.0
Non-defined	0.025		0.0	1.0
Monthly Base Wage (in real euros)	456.2	324.4	50.4	4050.0
Hourly Base Wage (in real euros)	2.74	2.11	0.35	23.2
Hourly Earnings (in real euros)	3.11	2.61	0.35	118.6
Hourly Earnings inc OT (in real euros)	3.12	2.62	0.35	118.6

Table A.1.2: Descriptive Statistics (1986-2005)

Women (N=7,293,755)

	Unemployment	Job Finding	Job Separation	Aggregate Labor
	Rate $(\%)$	Probability (%)	Probability (%)	Productivity
1985	7.2	12.4	1.35	4.44
1986	7.4	17.4	1.41	4.61
1987	6.5	21.1	1.30	4.89
1988	5.7	20.3	1.16	5.02
1989	4.5	20.0	1.14	5.28
1990	5.1	25.7	1.24	5.40
1991	4.7	26.4	1.16	5.48
1992	3.9	21.1	1.26	5.51
1993	5.0	15.0	1.40	5.68
1994	6.0	17.5	1.59	5.78
1995	6.2	15.4	1.30	5.85
1996	6.3	15.1	1.23	5.92
1997	5.9	21.5	1.37	6.07
1998	5.0	26.2	1.26	6.18
1999	4.4	25.1	1.24	6.27
2000	3.9	24.4	1.11	6.32
2001	4.0	25.7	1.35	6.29
2002	5.0	19.5	1.71	6.35
2003	6.3	21.6	1.67	6.40
2004	6.8	15.8	1.56	6.54
2005	7.6	16.7	1.57	6.60

 Table A.2: Unemployment Rate, Job Finding Probability, Job Separation

 Probability and Aggregate Labor Productivity

Portugal, 1985-2005

Source: Bank of Portugal and Franco and Torres (2008).

#### APPENDIX B

Estimation of the worker and firm fixed effects model In this appendix we detail our estimation technique for the model that includes both firm and worker fixed effects. Because of the high dimensionality of the problem, adding actual dummy variables to account for any of the fixed effects is not a feasible approach. However, as it turns out, application of a partitioned algorithm greatly simplifies the estimation problem at hand and leads to the full least squares solution. The idea consists of estimating the model by cycling between estimation on subsets of the parameters [for a discussion of partitioned algorithms see, for example, Smyth (1996)]. To see how this applies to our problem consider the simplest case of the typical least squares dummy variable model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} , \qquad (A.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $M \times k$  matrix of regressors,  $\mathbf{D}$  is an  $M \times n$  matrix containing n dummy variables that account for group membership and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  are the unknown parameters. If the least squares solution for the  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  were known, we could obtain the least squares estimates of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  by regressing  $\mathbf{Y}$ on  $\mathbf{X}$  and an additional single variable containing the estimates of the  $\alpha s$ . On the other hand, if the least squares solution for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  were known, we could easily obtain least squares estimates for the  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . The normal equations show that these are simply the group means of the elements of the vector  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ . Hence, an estimation procedure for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  could be implemented as follows:

1) Obtain initial values for  $\beta$  by regressing **Y** on **X**;

- 2) Compute **u** using the latest estimates of  $\beta$ ;
- 3) Calculate estimates of  $\alpha$  as the group means of the elements of  $\mathbf{u}$ ;

4) Estimate  $\beta$  by regressing **Y** on **X** and an additional variable containing the last estimates of the  $\alpha$ ;

5) Return to step 2 until convergence.

Note that computationally all that is required is the estimation of several least squares regressions with k + 1 explanatory variables and group means of elements of **u**. As is well known a better approach to estimate  $\beta$  consists in running a regression in terms of deviations from group means (the within-groups estimator). Consider now the case when a second fixed effect is added to (A.1),

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} , \qquad (A.2)$$

where **G** is a matrix of dimension  $M \times p$  containing p columns indicating membership to the second group and  $\gamma$  is a vector of parameters. Now, the within-groups estimator is no longer a viable alternative. However, the partition algorithm detailed above can be easily modified to accommodate this more complex case. In this case one iterates between estimation of  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . To estimate  $\beta$  in each iteration we regress Y on X and two additional variables, containing the last available estimates of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . In each step we obtain estimates for  $\alpha$  by computing the group means of  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$  and the estimates for  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  are computed in a similar way. Thus, the full least squares equation may be estimated by iteratively running a linear regression with only k+2 regressors and computing group means. A well known disadvantage of partitioned algorithms is their slow rate of convergence. To accelerate convergence of the model with two fixed effects we adopt two strategies. First, we eliminate the need to estimate the second fixed effect in each iteration by using the within-groups estimator. This amounts to replacing the linear regression in step 4) of the algorithm by a regression with deviations from the means of the second group. Second, in each iteration we retain the last two estimates of  $\alpha$  and use the three data points to adjust the trajectory of the estimates for the fixed effects. The estimation routines for the OLS coefficients, standard errors, and fixed effects are implemented in Stata and discussed in Guimarães and Portugal (2009).

Estimation of the standard error associated with the cycle variable Estimation of the standard error associated with the variable  $cycle_t$  poses an additional problem because this variable lacks cross-sectional variation. A common solution is to calculate a standard error that is robust to (annual) clustering. However, implementation of the typical formula for clustered standard errors is problematic because it requires the inversion of the high-dimensional matrix of regressors. To circumvent this problem we compute the clustered standard errors in a final regression that treats the estimated fixed effects as an offset. We also implement an alternative approach suggested to us by Manuel Arellano. The approach consists of the following five-step procedure:

1) Obtain the OLS solution with worker and firm fixed effects and retain the estimated fixed effects;

2) Using the estimated fixed effects as an offset, run a regression of the wage variable on all regressors except the cycle variable. Keep the residuals from that regression;

3) Compute the yearly average of the residuals in 2) to obtain an aggregate series  $\hat{u}_t$ ;

4) Run a regression of the  $cycle_t$  variable on all other regressors, again using the estimated fixed effects as an offset. Call those residuals  $\widehat{cycle_t}$ .

5) Run a simple OLS regression of  $\hat{u}_t$  on  $\widehat{cycle_t}$ .

Both of these procedures provide a practical solution to estimate a credible standard error for the coefficient associated with the  $cycle_t$  variable. However, a shortcoming of these procedures is that neither takes into account the fact that the fixed effects had been previously estimated.

# **WORKING PAPERS**

1/00	UNEMPLOYMENT DURATION: COMPETING AND DEFECTIVE RISKS — John T. Addison, Pedro Portugal
2/00	THE ESTIMATION OF RISK PREMIUM IMPLICIT IN OIL PRICES — Jorge Barros Luís
3/00	EVALUATING CORE INFLATION INDICATORS — Carlos Robalo Marques, Pedro Duarte Neves, Luís Morais Sarmento
4/00	LABOR MARKETS AND KALEIDOSCOPIC COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE — Daniel A. Traça
5/00	WHY SHOULD CENTRAL BANKS AVOID THE USE OF THE UNDERLYING INFLATION INDICATOR? — Carlos Robalo Marques, Pedro Duarte Neves, Afonso Gonçalves da Silva
6/00	USING THE ASYMMETRIC TRIMMED MEAN AS A CORE INFLATION INDICATOR — Carlos Robalo Marques, João Machado Mota
	2001
1/01	THE SURVIVAL OF NEW DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OWNED FIRMS — José Mata, Pedro Portugal
2/01	GAPS AND TRIANGLES — Bernardino Adão, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teles
3/01	A NEW REPRESENTATION FOR THE FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK PREMIUM — Bernardino Adão, Fátima Silva
4/01	ENTRY MISTAKES WITH STRATEGIC PRICING — Bernardino Adão
5/01	FINANCING IN THE EUROSYSTEM: FIXED VERSUS VARIABLE RATE TENDERS — Margarida Catalão-Lopes
6/01	AGGREGATION, PERSISTENCE AND VOLATILITY IN A MACROMODEL — Karim Abadir, Gabriel Talmain
7/01	SOME FACTS ABOUT THE CYCLICAL CONVERGENCE IN THE EURO ZONE — Frederico Belo
8/01	TENURE, BUSINESS CYCLE AND THE WAGE-SETTING PROCESS — Leandro Arozamena, Mário Centeno
9/01	USING THE FIRST PRINCIPAL COMPONENT AS A CORE INFLATION INDICATOR — José Ferreira Machado, Carlos Robalo Marques, Pedro Duarte Neves, Afonso Gonçalves da Silva
10/01	IDENTIFICATION WITH AVERAGED DATA AND IMPLICATIONS FOR HEDONIC REGRESSION STUDIES — José A.F. Machado, João M.C. Santos Silva

	2002
1/02	QUANTILE REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF TRANSITION DATA — José A.F. Machado, Pedro Portugal
2/02	SHOULD WE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN STATIC AND DYNAMIC LONG RUN EQUILIBRIUM IN ERROR CORRECTION MODELS? — Susana Botas, Carlos Robalo Marques
3/02	MODELLING TAYLOR RULE UNCERTAINTY — Fernando Martins, José A. F. Machado, Paulo Soares Esteves
4/02	PATTERNS OF ENTRY, POST-ENTRY GROWTH AND SURVIVAL: A COMPARISON BETWEEN DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OWNED FIRMS — José Mata, Pedro Portugal
5/02	BUSINESS CYCLES: CYCLICAL COMOVEMENT WITHIN THE EUROPEAN UNION IN THE PERIOD 1960-1999. A FREQUENCY DOMAIN APPROACH — João Valle e Azevedo
6/02	AN "ART", NOT A "SCIENCE"? CENTRAL BANK MANAGEMENT IN PORTUGAL UNDER THE GOLD STANDARD, 1854 -1891 — Jaime Reis
7/02	MERGE OR CONCENTRATE? SOME INSIGHTS FOR ANTITRUST POLICY — Margarida Catalão-Lopes
8/02	DISENTANGLING THE MINIMUM WAGE PUZZLE: ANALYSIS OF WORKER ACCESSIONS AND SEPARATIONS FROM A LONGITUDINAL MATCHED EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE DATA SET — Pedro Portugal, Ana Rute Cardoso
9/02	THE MATCH QUALITY GAINS FROM UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE — Mário Centeno
10/02	HEDONIC PRICES INDEXES FOR NEW PASSENGER CARS IN PORTUGAL (1997-2001) — Hugo J. Reis, J.M.C. Santos Silva
11/02	THE ANALYSIS OF SEASONAL RETURN ANOMALIES IN THE PORTUGUESE STOCK MARKET — Miguel Balbina, Nuno C. Martins
12/02	DOES MONEY GRANGER CAUSE INFLATION IN THE EURO AREA? — Carlos Robalo Marques, Joaquim Pina
13/02	INSTITUTIONS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: HOW STRONG IS THE RELATION? — Tiago V.de V. Cavalcanti, Álvaro A. Novo
	2003
1/03	FOUNDING CONDITIONS AND THE SURVIVAL OF NEW FIRMS — P.A. Geroski, José Mata, Pedro Portugal
2/03	THE TIMING AND PROBABILITY OF FDI: AN APPLICATION TO THE UNITED STATES MULTINATIONAL ENTERPRISES — José Brandão de Brito, Felipa de Mello Sampayo
3/03	OPTIMAL FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICY: EQUIVALENCE RESULTS — Isabel Correia, Juan Pablo Nicolini, Pedro Teles

4/03	FORECASTING EURO AREA AGGREGATES WITH BAYESIAN VAR AND VECM MODELS — Ricardo Mourinho Félix, Luís C. Nunes
5/03	CONTAGIOUS CURRENCY CRISES: A SPATIAL PROBIT APPROACH — <i>Álvaro Novo</i>
6/03	THE DISTRIBUTION OF LIQUIDITY IN A MONETARY UNION WITH DIFFERENT PORTFOLIO RIGIDITIES — Nuno Alves
7/03	COINCIDENT AND LEADING INDICATORS FOR THE EURO AREA: A FREQUENCY BAND APPROACH — António Rua, Luís C. Nunes
8/03	WHY DO FIRMS USE FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS? — José Varejão, Pedro Portugal
9/03	NONLINEARITIES OVER THE BUSINESS CYCLE: AN APPLICATION OF THE SMOOTH TRANSITION AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL TO CHARACTERIZE GDP DYNAMICS FOR THE EURO-AREA AND PORTUGAL — Francisco Craveiro Dias
10/03	WAGES AND THE RISK OF DISPLACEMENT — Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal
11/03	SIX WAYS TO LEAVE UNEMPLOYMENT — Pedro Portugal, John T. Addison
12/03	EMPLOYMENT DYNAMICS AND THE STRUCTURE OF LABOR ADJUSTMENT COSTS — José Varejão, Pedro Portugal
13/03	THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION MECHANISM: IS IT RELEVANT FOR POLICY? — Bernardino Adão, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teles
14/03	THE IMPACT OF INTEREST-RATE SUBSIDIES ON LONG-TERM HOUSEHOLD DEBT: EVIDENCE FROM A LARGE PROGRAM — Nuno C. Martins, Ernesto Villanueva
15/03	THE CAREERS OF TOP MANAGERS AND FIRM OPENNESS: INTERNAL VERSUS EXTERNAL LABOUR MARKETS — Francisco Lima, Mário Centeno
16/03	TRACKING GROWTH AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE: A STOCHASTIC COMMON CYCLE MODEL FOR THE EURO AREA — João Valle e Azevedo, Siem Jan Koopman, António Rua
17/03	CORRUPTION, CREDIT MARKET IMPERFECTIONS, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT — António R. Antunes, Tiago V. Cavalcanti
18/03	BARGAINED WAGES, WAGE DRIFT AND THE DESIGN OF THE WAGE SETTING SYSTEM — Ana Rute Cardoso, Pedro Portugal
19/03	UNCERTAINTY AND RISK ANALYSIS OF MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: FAN CHARTS REVISITED — Álvaro Novo, Maximiano Pinheiro

<ul> <li>HOW DOES THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE SYSTEM SHAPE THE TIME PROFILE OF JOBLESS DURATION? — John T. Addison, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>REAL EXCHANGE RATE AND HUMAN CAPITAL IN THE EMPIRICS OF ECONOMIC GROWTH — Delfim Gomes Neto</li> <li>ON THE USE OF THE FIRST PRINCIPAL COMPONENT AS A CORE INFLATION INDICATOR — José Ramos Maria</li> <li>OIL PRICES ASSUMPTIONS IN MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: SHOULD WE FOLLOW FUTURES MARKET EXPECTATIONS? — Carlos Coimbra, Paulo Soares Esteves</li> <li>STYLISED FLATURES OF PRICES SETTING BEHAVIOUR IN PORTUGAL: 1992-2001 — Monica Dias, Daniel Dias, Pedro D. Neves</li> <li>STYLISED FLATURES OF PRICES ETTING BEHAVIOUR IN PORTUGAL: 1992-2001 — Monica Dias, Daniel Dias, Pedro D. Neves</li> <li>STYLISED FLATURES OF PRICES OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION — D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Margues, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva</li> <li>ON THE FISHER-KONIECZNY INDEX OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION — D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Margues, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva</li> <li>INFLATION PERSISTENCE: FACTS OR ARTEFACTS? — Carlos Robalo Margues</li> <li>UNORKERS'FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY — Anabela Carneiro, Padro Portugal</li> <li>MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS — José Varajão, Padro Portugal</li> <li>MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS — José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> <li>THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES — José Brandão do BRITO, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> <li>RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE — John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION IN THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS — Nuno Alves</li> <li>NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS — Nuno Alves</li> <li>MONETARY POLICY VITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES — Barnardino Adáo, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teies</li> </ul>		2004
<ul> <li>Delfim Gomes Neto</li> <li>ON THE USE OF THE FIRST PRINCIPAL COMPONENT AS A CORE INFLATION INDICATOR         <ul> <li>José Ramos Maria</li> </ul> </li> <li>OIL PRICES ASSUMPTIONS IN MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: SHOULD WE FOLLOW FUTURES MARKET EXPECTATIONS?             <ul> <li>Carlos Combra, Paulo Soares Esteves</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <li>STYLISED FEATURES OF PRICE SETTING BEHAVIOUR IN PORTUGAL: 1992-2001</li>	1/04	DURATION?
<ul> <li>José Ramos Maria</li> <li>OIL PRICES ASSUMPTIONS IN MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: SHOULD WE FOLLOW FUTURES MARKET EXPECTATIONS?         <ul> <li>Carlos Coimbra, Paulo Soares Esteves</li> <li>STYLISED FEATURES OF PRICE SETTING BEHAVIOUR IN PORTUGAL: 1992-2001</li> <li>Mánica Dias, Danie Dias, Pedro D. Neves</li> </ul> </li> <li>A FLEXIBLE VIEW ON PRICES         <ul> <li>Nuno Alwas</li> </ul> </li> <li>ON THE FISHER-KONIECZNY INDEX OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION             <ul> <li>D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Marques, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva</li> </ul> </li> <li>INFLATION PERSISTENCE: FACTS OR ARTEFACTS?             <ul> <li>Carlos Robalo Marques</li> <li>WORKERS' FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY                 <ul> <li>Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>INFLATION WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS</li></ul>	2/04	
EXPECTATIONS? - Carlos Colimbra, Paulo Soares Estaves 5/04 STYLISED FEATURES OF PRICE SETTING BEHAVIOUR IN PORTUGAL: 1992-2001 - Mónica Dias, Daniel Dias, Pedro D. Neves 6/04 A FLEXIBLE VIEW ON PRICES - Nuno Alves 6/04 ON THE FISHER-KONIECZNY INDEX OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION - D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Marques, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva 8/04 INFLATION PERSISTENCE: FACTS OR ARTEFACTS? - Carlos Robalo Marques 9/04 WORKERS' FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY - Anabele Cameiro, Pedro Portugal 1/004 MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS - José Varejão, Pedro Portugal 1/004 MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS - José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo 1/004 KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONALS ACTIVITIES - José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo 1/004 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE - John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal 1/004 THE MORETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS - Nuno Alves 1/004 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES - Sandra Gomes 1/004 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES	3/04	
<ul> <li>Mónica Días, Daniel Días, Pedro D. Neves</li> <li>A FLEXIBLE VIEW ON PRICES         <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>ON THE FISHER-KONIECZNY INDEX OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION             <ul></ul></li></ul>	4/04	EXPECTATIONS?
<ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> <li>Nuno Alves</li> <li>ON THE FISHER-KONIECZNY INDEX OF PRICE CHANGES SYNCHRONIZATION – D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Marques, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva</li> <li>INFLATION PERSISTENCE: FACTS OR ARTEFACTS? – Carlos Robalo Marques</li> <li>WORKERS' FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY – Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS – José Varejão, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES – José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> <li>KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE – John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE – John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS – Nuno Alves</li> <li>MONINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS – Nuno Alves</li> <li>MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES – Sandra Gomes</li> <li>MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	5/04	
<ul> <li>D.A. Dias, C. Robalo Marques, P.D. Neves, J.M.C. Santos Silva</li> <li>8/04 INFLATION PERSISTENCE: FACTS OR ARTEFACTS? <ul> <li>Carlos Robalo Marques</li> </ul> </li> <li>9/04 WORKERS' FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY <ul> <li>Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>10/04 MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS <ul> <li>José Varejão, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>11/04 THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES <ul> <li>José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> </ul> </li> <li>12/04 KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>13/04 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>13/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS <ul> <li>Numo Alives</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	6/04	
<ul> <li>Carlos Robalo Marques</li> <li>9/04 WORKERS' FLOWS AND REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY <ul> <li>Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>10/04 MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS <ul> <li>José Varejão, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>11/04 THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES <ul> <li>José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> </ul> </li> <li>12/04 KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>13/04 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>14/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS <ul> <li>Nuno Alives</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS <ul> <li>Nuno Alives</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	7/04	
<ul> <li>Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>MATCHING WORKERS TO JOBS IN THE FAST LANE: THE OPERATION OF FIXED-TERM CONTRACTS         <ul> <li>José Varejão, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES             <ul></ul></li></ul>	8/04	
<ul> <li>José Varejão, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>11/04 THE LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. MULTINATIONALS ACTIVITIES <ul> <li>José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> </ul> </li> <li>12/04 KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>13/04 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>14/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS <ul> <li>NUNO Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	9/04	
<ul> <li>José Brandão de Brito, Felipa Mello Sampayo</li> <li>12/04 KEY ELASTICITIES IN JOB SEARCH THEORY: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>13/04 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>14/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	10/04	
<ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>13/04 RESERVATION WAGES, SEARCH DURATION AND ACCEPTED WAGES IN EUROPE         <ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> </ul> </li> <li>14/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS         <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS             <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES             <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD             <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	11/04	
<ul> <li>John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal</li> <li>14/04 THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION N THE US AND THE EURO AREA: COMMON FEATURES AND COMMON FRICTIONS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	12/04	
<ul> <li>FRICTIONS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>15/04 NOMINAL WAGE INERTIA IN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS <ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> </ul> </li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD <ul> <li>João Ejarque</li> </ul> </li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	13/04	
<ul> <li>Nuno Alves</li> <li>16/04 MONETARY POLICY IN A CURRENCY UNION WITH NATIONAL PRICE ASYMMETRIES         <ul> <li>Sandra Gomes</li> </ul> </li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD             <ul></ul></li></ul>	14/04	FRICTIONS
<ul> <li>— Sandra Gomes</li> <li>17/04 NEOCLASSICAL INVESTMENT WITH MORAL HAZARD</li> <li>— João Ejarque</li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	15/04	
<ul> <li>— João Ejarque</li> <li>18/04 MONETARY POLICY WITH STATE CONTINGENT INTEREST RATES</li> </ul>	16/04	
	17/04	
	18/04	

19/04	MONETARY POLICY WITH SINGLE INSTRUMENT FEEDBACK RULES — Bernardino Adão, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teles
20/04	ACOUNTING FOR THE HIDDEN ECONOMY: BARRIERS TO LAGALITY AND LEGAL FAILURES — António R. Antunes, Tiago V. Cavalcanti
	2005
1/05	SEAM: A SMALL-SCALE EURO AREA MODEL WITH FORWARD-LOOKING ELEMENTS — José Brandão de Brito, Rita Duarte
2/05	FORECASTING INFLATION THROUGH A BOTTOM-UP APPROACH: THE PORTUGUESE CASE — Cláudia Duarte, António Rua
3/05	USING MEAN REVERSION AS A MEASURE OF PERSISTENCE — Daniel Dias, Carlos Robalo Marques
4/05	HOUSEHOLD WEALTH IN PORTUGAL: 1980-2004 — Fátima Cardoso, Vanda Geraldes da Cunha
5/05	ANALYSIS OF DELINQUENT FIRMS USING MULTI-STATE TRANSITIONS — António Antunes
6/05	<ul> <li>PRICE SETTING IN THE AREA: SOME STYLIZED FACTS FROM INDIVIDUAL CONSUMER PRICE DATA</li> <li>— Emmanuel Dhyne, Luis J. Álvarez, Hervé Le Bihan, Giovanni Veronese, Daniel Dias, Johannes Hoffmann, Nicole Jonker, Patrick Lünnemann, Fabio Rumler, Jouko Vilmunen</li> </ul>
7/05	INTERMEDIATION COSTS, INVESTOR PROTECTION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT — António Antunes, Tiago Cavalcanti, Anne Villamil
8/05	TIME OR STATE DEPENDENT PRICE SETTING RULES? EVIDENCE FROM PORTUGUESE MICRO DATA — Daniel Dias, Carlos Robalo Marques, João Santos Silva
9/05	BUSINESS CYCLE AT A SECTORAL LEVEL: THE PORTUGUESE CASE — Hugo Reis
10/05	<ul> <li>THE PRICING BEHAVIOUR OF FIRMS IN THE EURO AREA: NEW SURVEY EVIDENCE</li> <li>S. Fabiani, M. Druant, I. Hernando, C. Kwapil, B. Landau, C. Loupias, F. Martins, T. Mathä, R. Sabbatini, H. Stahl, A. Stokman</li> </ul>
11/05	CONSUMPTION TAXES AND REDISTRIBUTION — Isabel Correia
12/05	UNIQUE EQUILIBRIUM WITH SINGLE MONETARY INSTRUMENT RULES — Bernardino Adão, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teles
13/05	A MACROECONOMIC STRUCTURAL MODEL FOR THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMY — <i>Ricardo Mourinho Félix</i>
14/05	THE EFFECTS OF A GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES SHOCK — Bernardino Adão, José Brandão de Brito
15/05	MARKET INTEGRATION IN THE GOLDEN PERIPHERY – THE LISBON/LONDON EXCHANGE, 1854-1891 — Rui Pedro Esteves, Jaime Reis, Fabiano Ferramosca
	2006
1/06	THE EFFECTS OF A TECHNOLOGY SHOCK IN THE EURO AREA — Nuno Alves , José Brandão de Brito , Sandra Gomes, João Sousa

2/02	THE TRANSMISSION OF MONETARY AND TECHNOLOGY SHOCKS IN THE EURO AREA — Nuno Alves, José Brandão de Brito, Sandra Gomes, João Sousa
3/06	MEASURING THE IMPORTANCE OF THE UNIFORM NONSYNCHRONIZATION HYPOTHESIS — Daniel Dias, Carlos Robalo Marques, João Santos Silva
4/06	THE PRICE SETTING BEHAVIOUR OF PORTUGUESE FIRMS EVIDENCE FROM SURVEY DATA — Fernando Martins
5/06	<ul> <li>STICKY PRICES IN THE EURO AREA: A SUMMARY OF NEW MICRO EVIDENCE</li> <li>L. J. Álvarez, E. Dhyne, M. Hoeberichts, C. Kwapil, H. Le Bihan, P. Lünnemann, F. Martins, R. Sabbatini, H. Stahl, P. Vermeulen and J. Vilmunen</li> </ul>
6/06	NOMINAL DEBT AS A BURDEN ON MONETARY POLICY — Javier Díaz-Giménez, Giorgia Giovannetti , Ramon Marimon, Pedro Teles
7/06	A DISAGGREGATED FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENTS IN PUBLIC FINANCES — Jana Kremer, Cláudia Rodrigues Braz, Teunis Brosens, Geert Langenus, Sandro Momigliano, Mikko Spolander
8/06	IDENTIFYING ASSET PRICE BOOMS AND BUSTS WITH QUANTILE REGRESSIONS — José A. F. Machado, João Sousa
9/06	EXCESS BURDEN AND THE COST OF INEFFICIENCY IN PUBLIC SERVICES PROVISION — António Afonso, Vítor Gaspar
10/06	MARKET POWER, DISMISSAL THREAT AND RENT SHARING: THE ROLE OF INSIDER AND OUTSIDER FORCES IN WAGE BARGAINING — Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal
11/06	MEASURING EXPORT COMPETITIVENESS: REVISITING THE EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATE WEIGHTS FOR THE EURO AREA COUNTRIES — Paulo Soares Esteves, Carolina Reis
12/06	THE IMPACT OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE GENEROSITY ON MATCH QUALITY DISTRIBUTION — Mário Centeno, Alvaro A. Novo
13/06	U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT DURATION: HAS LONG BECOME LONGER OR SHORT BECOME SHORTER? — José A.F. Machado, Pedro Portugal e Juliana Guimarães
14/06	EARNINGS LOSSES OF DISPLACED WORKERS: EVIDENCE FROM A MATCHED EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE DATA SET — Anabela Carneiro, Pedro Portugal
15/06	COMPUTING GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS WITH OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE AND FINANCIAL FRICTIONS — António Antunes, Tiago Cavalcanti, Anne Villamil
16/06	ON THE RELEVANCE OF EXCHANGE RATE REGIMES FOR STABILIZATION POLICY — Bernardino Adao, Isabel Correia, Pedro Teles
17/06	AN INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS: LINKAGES VS LEAKAGES — Hugo Reis, António Rua
	2007
1/07	RELATIVE EXPORT STRUCTURES AND VERTICAL SPECIALIZATION: A SIMPLE CROSS-COUNTRY INDEX — João Amador, Sónia Cabral, José Ramos Maria

2/07	THE FORWARD PREMIUM OF EURO INTEREST RATES — Sónia Costa, Ana Beatriz Galvão
3/07	ADJUSTING TO THE EURO — Gabriel Fagan, Vítor Gaspar
4/07	SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL AGGREGATION IN THE ESTIMATION OF LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS — José Varejão, Pedro Portugal
5/07	PRICE SETTING IN THE EURO AREA: SOME STYLISED FACTS FROM INDIVIDUAL PRODUCER PRICE DATA — Philip Vermeulen, Daniel Dias, Maarten Dossche, Erwan Gautier, Ignacio Hernando, Roberto Sabbatini, Harald Stahl
6/07	A STOCHASTIC FRONTIER ANALYSIS OF SECONDARY EDUCATION OUTPUT IN PORTUGAL — Manuel Coutinho Pereira, Sara Moreira
7/07	CREDIT RISK DRIVERS: EVALUATING THE CONTRIBUTION OF FIRM LEVEL INFORMATION AND OF MACROECONOMIC DYNAMICS — Diana Bonfim
8/07	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMIC GROWTH: WHAT HAS BEEN MISSING? — João Amador, Carlos Coimbra
9/07	TOTAL FACTOR PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH IN THE G7 COUNTRIES: DIFFERENT OR ALIKE? — João Amador, Carlos Coimbra
10/07	IDENTIFYING UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE INCOME EFFECTS WITH A QUASI-NATURAL EXPERIMENT — Mário Centeno, Alvaro A. Novo
11/07	HOW DO DIFFERENT ENTITLEMENTS TO UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AFFECT THE TRANSITIONS FROM UNEMPLOYMENT INTO EMPLOYMENT — John T. Addison, Pedro Portugal
12/07	INTERPRETATION OF THE EFFECTS OF FILTERING INTEGRATED TIME SERIES — João Valle e Azevedo
13/07	EXACT LIMIT OF THE EXPECTED PERIODOGRAM IN THE UNIT-ROOT CASE — João Valle e Azevedo
14/07	INTERNATIONAL TRADE PATTERNS OVER THE LAST FOUR DECADES: HOW DOES PORTUGAL COMPARE WITH OTHER COHESION COUNTRIES? — João Amador, Sónia Cabral, José Ramos Maria
15/07	INFLATION (MIS)PERCEPTIONS IN THE EURO AREA — Francisco Dias, Cláudia Duarte, António Rua
16/07	LABOR ADJUSTMENT COSTS IN A PANEL OF ESTABLISHMENTS: A STRUCTURAL APPROACH — João Miguel Ejarque, Pedro Portugal
17/07	A MULTIVARIATE BAND-PASS FILTER — João Valle e Azevedo
18/07	AN OPEN ECONOMY MODEL OF THE EURO AREA AND THE US — Nuno Alves, Sandra Gomes, João Sousa
19/07	IS TIME RIPE FOR PRICE LEVEL PATH STABILITY? — Vitor Gaspar, Frank Smets , David Vestin

20/07	IS THE EURO AREA M3 ABANDONING US? — Nuno Alves, Carlos Robalo Marques, João Sousa
21/07	DO LABOR MARKET POLICIES AFFECT EMPLOYMENT COMPOSITION? LESSONS FROM EUROPEAN COUNTRIES — António Antunes, Mário Centeno
	2008
1/08	THE DETERMINANTS OF PORTUGUESE BANKS' CAPITAL BUFFERS — Miguel Boucinha
2/08	DO RESERVATION WAGES REALLY DECLINE? SOME INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE ON THE DETERMINANTS OF RESERVATION WAGES — John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal
3/08	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND RESERVATION WAGES: KEY ELASTICITIES FROM A STRIPPED-DOWN JOB SEARCH APPROACH — John T. Addison, Mário Centeno, Pedro Portugal
4/08	THE EFFECTS OF LOW-COST COUNTRIES ON PORTUGUESE MANUFACTURING IMPORT PRICES — Fátima Cardoso, Paulo Soares Esteves
5/08	WHAT IS BEHIND THE RECENT EVOLUTION OF PORTUGUESE TERMS OF TRADE? — Fátima Cardoso, Paulo Soares Esteves
6/08	EVALUATING JOB SEARCH PROGRAMS FOR OLD AND YOUNG INDIVIDUALS: HETEROGENEOUS IMPACT ON UNEMPLOYMENT DURATION — Luis Centeno, Mário Centeno, Álvaro A. Novo
7/08	FORECASTING USING TARGETED DIFFUSION INDEXES — Francisco Dias, Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua
8/08	STATISTICAL ARBITRAGE WITH DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL — José Fajardo, Ana Lacerda
9/08	DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF FACTORS IN APPROXIMATE FACTOR MODELS WITH GLOBAL AND GROUP-SPECIFIC FACTORS — Francisco Dias, Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua
10/08	VERTICAL SPECIALIZATION ACROSS THE WORLD: A RELATIVE MEASURE — João Amador, Sónia Cabral
11/08	INTERNATIONAL FRAGMENTATION OF PRODUCTION IN THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMY: WHAT DO DIFFERENT MEASURES TELL US? — João Amador, Sónia Cabral
12/08	IMPACT OF THE RECENT REFORM OF THE PORTUGUESE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' PENSION SYSTEM — Maria Manuel Campos, Manuel Coutinho Pereira
13/08	EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE ON THE BEHAVIOR AND STABILIZING ROLE OF FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICIES IN THE US — Manuel Coutinho Pereira
14/08	IMPACT ON WELFARE OF COUNTRY HETEROGENEITY IN A CURRENCY UNION — Carla Soares
15/08	WAGE AND PRICE DYNAMICS IN PORTUGAL — Carlos Robalo Marques

16/08	IMPROVING COMPETITION IN THE NON-TRADABLE GOODS AND LABOUR MARKETS: THE PORTUGUESE CASE — Vanda Almeida, Gabriela Castro, Ricardo Mourinho Félix
17/08	PRODUCT AND DESTINATION MIX IN EXPORT MARKETS — João Amador, Luca David Opromolla
18/08	FORECASTING INVESTMENT: A FISHING CONTEST USING SURVEY DATA — José Ramos Maria, Sara Serra
19/08	APPROXIMATING AND FORECASTING MACROECONOMIC SIGNALS IN REAL-TIME — João Valle e Azevedo
20/08	A THEORY OF ENTRY AND EXIT INTO EXPORTS MARKETS — Alfonso A. Irarrazabal, Luca David Opromolla
21/08	ON THE UNCERTAINTY AND RISKS OF MACROECONOMIC FORECASTS: COMBINING JUDGEMENTS WITH SAMPLE AND MODEL INFORMATION — Maximiano Pinheiro, Paulo Soares Esteves
22/08	ANALYSIS OF THE PREDICTORS OF DEFAULT FOR PORTUGUESE FIRMS — Ana I. Lacerda, Russ A. Moro
23/08	INFLATION EXPECTATIONS IN THE EURO AREA: ARE CONSUMERS RATIONAL? — Francisco Dias, Cláudia Duarte, António Rua
	2009
1/09	AN ASSESSMENT OF COMPETITION IN THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM IN THE 1991-2004 PERIOD — Miguel Boucinha, Nuno Ribeiro
2/09	FINITE SAMPLE PERFORMANCE OF FREQUENCY AND TIME DOMAIN TESTS FOR SEASONAL FRACTIONAL INTEGRATION — Paulo M. M. Rodrigues, Antonio Rubia, João Valle e Azevedo
3/09	THE MONETARY TRANSMISSION MECHANISM FOR A SMALL OPEN ECONOMY IN A MONETARY UNION — Bernardino Adão
4/09	INTERNATIONAL COMOVEMENT OF STOCK MARKET RETURNS: A WAVELET ANALYSIS — António Rua, Luís C. Nunes
5/09	THE INTEREST RATE PASS-THROUGH OF THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM: CHARACTERIZATION AND DETERMINANTS — Paula Antão
6/09	ELUSIVE COUNTER-CYCLICALITY AND DELIBERATE OPPORTUNISM? FISCAL POLICY FROM PLANS TO FINAL OUTCOMES — <i>Álvaro M. Pina</i>
7/09	LOCAL IDENTIFICATION IN DSGE MODELS — Nikolay Iskrev
8/09	CREDIT RISK AND CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PORTUGUESE BANKING SYSTEM — Paula Antão, Ana Lacerda
9/09	A SIMPLE FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE TO ESTIMATE MODELS WITH HIGH-DIMENSIONAL FIXED EFFECTS — Paulo Guimarães, Pedro Portugal

- **10/09** REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE: ACCOUNTING FOR WORKER AND FIRM HETEROGENEITY — Anabela Carneiro, Paulo Guimarães, Pedro Portugal
- 11/09
   DOUBLE COVERAGE AND DEMAND FOR HEALTH CARE: EVIDENCE FROM QUANTILE REGRESSION

   Sara Moreira, Pedro Pita Barros
   (to be published)
- 12/09 THE NUMBER OF BANK RELATIONSHIPS, BORROWING COSTS AND BANK COMPETITION — Diana Bonfim, Qinglei Dai, Francesco Franco
- 13/09 DYNAMIC FACTOR MODELS WITH JAGGED EDGE PANEL DATA: TAKING ON BOARD THE DYNAMICS OF THE IDIOSYNCRATIC COMPONENTS — Maximiano Pinheiro, António Rua, Francisco Dias
- 14/09 BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF A DSGE MODEL FOR THE PORTUGUESE ECONOMY — Vanda Almeida