# TIBER-PT 360° FEEDBACK REPORT



# TIBER-PT 360° FEEDBACK REPORT

MARÇO 2022



### Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction | 5
- 1.1 Purpose of this document | 5
- 1.2 Structure of this document | 5
- 2 Feedback table | 6

### Change Log

Version Date Comments

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose of this document

One of the principles that underlies the TIBER-EU framework "is learning and evolving". The TIBER-PT implementation guide carries this principle through a mandatory 360-degree feedback workshop, during the closure phase, followed by the formalisation of the conclusions found therein, in this document.

The White Team (WT), TIBER-PT Cyber Team (TCT), Red Team (RT) and Threat Intelligence Provider (TIP) involved in the TIBER-PT test shall therefore deliver feedback on each other and on the overall process, so that this feedback can be used as a learning experience for all involved and for future TIBER-PT exercises. The WT shall receive the written feedback from all parties and incorporate it in the final version of this document.

Moreover, the TCT may incorporate the learnings from this document on future revisions of the TIBER-PT implementation guide, as well as share an anonymised version of this report with the ECB's TIBER Knowledge Centre (TKC) so that lessons learned can also be incorporated into the TIBER-EU framework.

As with the remaining steps of the TIBER-PT test, the 360-degree feedback workshop and report shall be approached as an open and collaborative effort.

#### 1.2 Structure of this document

Section 2 of this document contains a table in which each of the stakeholders of the TIBER-PT test shall give their assessment, during the closure phase, of the progress made during the test and what could have been improved, the TIBER-PT implementation guide, the roles of the remaining stakeholders and also a self-assessment.

The filling of the first four rows of this table by the respective stakeholders is mandatory.

The last two rows are not mandatory, but allow for other elements of the target entity (e.g. the BT) or from the authorities (e.g. other TIBER authorities involved) to also provide their own assessments if applicable.

# Banco de Portugal

#### 2 Feedback table

Each stakeholder in a TIBER-PT shall provide an assessment of: i) the activities and deliverables; ii) the TIBER-PT implementation guide and the TIBER-EU framework and respective guidance; iii) all the stakeholders involved in the test; and iv) any other relevant feedback (e.g. time allocated for the test, relevant external factors that impacted the test, relevant impacts of the test on recurrent activities of the RT/BT, etc.). While it is not expected that this assessment results in an exhaustive description of occurrences throughout the TIBER-PT test, it is expected that this assessment results on constructive observations that all involved can incorporate as lessons learned for future tests, and to improve the TIBER-PT implementation guide itself and the TIBER-EU framework.

Table 2.1 • 360 feedback table

Stakeholder	Activities/Deliverables	TIBER-PT Implementation Guide and TIBER-EU framework	Feedback on stakeholders	Other Feedback
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT (self-assessment):	[WT to insert text].
	progressed well:	• [WT to insert text].	[WT to insert text].	
	• [WT to insert text].			
	Activities/Deliverables that		TCT:	
WT	could have been improved:	Possible improvements:	[WT to insert text].	
	• [WT to insert text].	• [WT to insert text].		
			TIP:	
			[WT to insert text].	

lemplate	
Feedback	
360°	
IIBER-PI	

			RT:	
			[WT to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT:	[TCT to insert text].
	progressed well:	• [TCT to insert text].	[TCT to insert text].	
	• [TCT to insert text].			
			TCT (self-assessment):	
тст	Activities/Deliverables that		[TCT to insert text].	
ICI	could have been improved:	Possible improvements:		
	• [TCT to insert text].	• [TCT to insert text].	TIP:	
			[TCT to insert text].	
			RT:	
			[TCT to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT:	[TTIP to insert text].
	progressed well:	• [TTIP to insert text].	[TTIP to insert text].	
	• [TTIP to insert text].			
TIP	A 22 22 25 11 11 11 11 11		TCT:	
	Activities/Deliverables that could have been improved:	Possible improvements:	[TTIP to insert text].	
	• [TTIP to insert text].	• [TTIP to insert text].	_ #	
	← [IIII to IIISEIt text].		TIP (self-assessment):	

			[TTIP to insert text].	
			RT:	
			[TTIP to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT:	[RT to insert text].
	progressed well:	• [RT to insert text].	[RT to insert text].	
	• [RT to insert text].			
		Possible improvements:	TCT:	
	Activities/Deliverables that could have been improved:	• [RT to insert text].	[RT to insert text].	
RT	• [RT to insert text].			
			TIP:	
			[RT to insert text].	
			RT (self-assessment):	
			[RT to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT:	[Target Entity to insert text]
	progressed well:	• [Target Entity to insert	[Target Entity to insert text].	
other stakeholders	• [Target Entity to insert text].	text].		
[target entity]	-		TCT:	
	Activities/Deliverables that could have been improved:		[Target Entity to insert text].	

	<ul> <li>[Target Entity to insert text].</li> </ul>	Possible improvements:	TIP:	
		• [Target Entity to insert text].	[Target Entity to insert text].	
			RT:	
			[Target Entity to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that	Positive aspects:	WT:	[Authorities to insert text].
Other stakeholders [authorities]	<ul><li>progressed well:</li><li>[Authorities to insert text].</li></ul>	• [Authorities to insert text].	[Authorities to insert text].	
	Activities/Deliverables that could have been improved:  • [Authorities to insert text].	Possible improvements: • [Authorities to insert text].	TCT: [Authorities to insert text].	
			TIP:	
			[Authorities to insert text].	
			RT:	
			[Authorities to insert text].	